G R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

~~190~~ 1894-5

(Madras)

File No. 30

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Repairs to the Coonnattur tank
& the reconstruction of the damaged weir

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
Mas F 186-92	Mas F 162-04
~~254-10~~	" 254-10
	Mis. 313-13
	460-14

Note

Reconstruction of the damaged Weir of the Aamattur Inam Tank belonging to the Sri Chamarajeswaraswami Temple at Chamarajanagar

An Estimate for Rs 15953 to repair this tank was first sanctioned in 1891 from the funds of the temple. At the recommendation of the Chief Engineer, the Estimate was since altered to Rs 16794. Again a re-vised Estimate for Rs

(a) Govt Lr no 7738-40 dated 3rd Oct 1891.

(b) Govt Lr no 8761-3 dated 12th Nov 1891.

(2)

Rs 21454 was sanctioned (c) in 1895, and all the repairs were completed in 1897 at an actual cost of Rs 20808.

(c) Govt Pro no 75-7-2 dated 28th July 1895. X

2. Then in 1897 an Estimate for Rs 2025 for turfing the slopes and gravelling the top of the tank bund was received and this too was sanctioned (d) as also a supplemental Estimate therefor in 1898 for Rs 284, the total cost of all the works thus amounting to Rs 23117.

(d) Pro no 691-2 dated 13th Dec 1897 W

2. In May 1899, the Chief Engineer reported (e) that the tank weir breached in November 1898 and submitted a fresh project amounting to Rs 14307 for its re-construction.

(e) In his letter no C 21 dated 15th May 1899 W

The late Mysore Secretary,
in submitting this letter &
the project for orders of
the Dewan, observed in his
"Note" dated 24th July 1899,
as follows:—

"In the Report accompanying
the project, the Executive
Engineer states that
there is no good site for
a waste weir in this tank,
the soil met with becoming
a soft paste in the drains
and that after sinking
a trial pit to a depth of
22 feet below weir level,
it is found that the
soil is the same even
at that depth. The

"Dharmendra Rao of
the temple including
Mrs Amble Annieh
Gandhi doubt the ex-
-pediency of again repairing
the tank at such a heavy
outlay, as considering
the nature of the soil,
the cost of the repairs
may not stop at the present
Estimated amount, but
may go on increasing.
But Mr Mahadevasastry,
the Executive Engineer,
whom I met at Mysore,
a few days back, informed
me that the ryots holding
land under the tank are
anxious to have the weir
restored".

(27) (5)

4. On this note by the Mugrai Secretary, Sir Sheshadri Iyer passed the following order: —

"I am unable to decide the question without further details of the tank and of the work proposed - also the nature of the improvement carried out recently for a total cost of Rs 23,000/- odd the extent of the old (i.e. before recent improvement) and new water-spread, the

(6)

"and level of W. W. old and new - and as now proposed - also extent of irrigation and assessment thereon.

Send this to D. P. W. and on its sending me a note with the above and other details, I shall decide whether to carry out or abandon this project."

5. With reference to the above the Chief Engineer recommended the project (#) of Rs 14 307 together with the Superintending Engr Mr Karve's letter, furnishing the particulars called for by the Dewan. Mr Karve

(#) With his letter no 5633 dated 7th November 1899.

VL

Shastrad

in his letter to the Chief Engineer stated that such a costly weir was not necessary & that it would be sufficient if a new weir is built just outside the washed away one at a cost of Rs 7000.

6 Thereupon the Chief Engineer was asked if he agreed with Mr Karne's and if so, to forward a revised Estimate for that amount (Rs 7000.)

7 In reply the Chief Engineer (g) stated that he did not approve of Mr Karne's proposal to Construct a new weir at the reduced

(g) In his letter no 432 dated 25th January 1900. I

of Rs (7000), as in this design the body wall would be founded on earth filling and ~~is~~ was likely to give trouble in the future.

8 This communication was submitted to the Offg Dewan for orders and he passed[h] the following order :-

"I agree with the Chief Engineer but before passing final orders, ascertain if funds are available".

9. With reference to the above, the Comptroller in his letter no 806 dated 25th June 1901, reported that the balance available at

(h) on 26th January 1900. I

at the credit of the
Channarajeswara Temple
on the 30th June 1900 was
Rs 31588-7-9.

10. In march 1900, the
Dy Comr Mysore District,
submitted for information
of Govt an extract from
the memo of inspection by
the Asst Comr ~~to~~ regarding
the condition of this tank
and stated that he had
directed the Amildar not
to take charge of the tank
till it was put in thorough
order. This letter of the
Comr was also

(10)

-Submitted to the Dewan

for information.

-Submitted for orders

ks
3/7/07

Sd/ to the Dewan

Orders are solicited whether the estimate for Rs. 14307/- should be sanctioned, the cost being met from Temple Funds.

4/7/07

This is an Inam tank and the Inam is of a Temple - It behoves the Authorities of this Temple to exercise more than ordinary care in spending its funds - The tank is a large one & I have seen it on several occasions The lands below are also valuable & block cotton will mostly - I do not think that the Ryots and land holders are favourable to the abandoning of this source of irrigation altogether - On the other hand to spend money again after what has been spent already without ascertaining the benefit thereby is to be asked upon by a Committee that have no engineer in this Temple with the device of this sort, - My object in saying so much is to request that this action of the Local Engineering Officer has with it sanction the people with that confidence which it ought to have done - The question about the Inam and the cost of work has of course become a matter of difference between the Temple Engineer & the Chief Engineer - My conclusion is certainly to follow the advice of the Chief Engr - and as it is deemed important to maintain this large tank I cannot think the expenditure of 14 30? Rs but in view of the special circumstances of this case would suggest the desirability of the Chief Engr once visiting the work - & forming for his opinion regarding the practicability of the work before it is put to execution It was once said that even at a depth of 22 feet there was good hard soil

Foundation

VII

(11)

Note continued :—

11. The Dewan's orders dated 17th August 1901, endorsed on page 10 of this note, were issued in Pro: No. 317-9 dated 26th August 1901, conveying sanction to an expenditure of Rs 14,307/-, but before the project was put in execution, the Chief Engr: was requested to inspect the work & form his opinion regarding its suitability.

Flag A

12. On the 8th April 1903 (letter No. 2005) the Chief Engr: reported that Mr Nagarkar, Superintending Engr W. Cir: inspected the tank in August 1902 & promised to send up the report early.

Flag B

13 In contn: of the above letter, the Ch: Engr: forwarded proceedings plan & Estimate amounting to Rs 17,745/- including Establishment & other charges, and stated that the project

His letter No 458 dt 22.1.1904
Flag C

might be sanctioned as the best scheme to adopt under the circumstances explained in his letter which contains his own opinion on the Report of Mr. Nagarkar. (Flag D

Submitted

5/10/04

Sir,

I to the Dewan.

The first estimate was for Rs 14307 and it was sanctioned in Govt order No 317 – 9 Muzrai dy 26th August 1901. The Chief Engr has now furnished a revised estimate for Rs 17745 and considers that this last estimate is preferable to the other. Mr. Nagarkar inspected the bank in August 1902 and his report is in the file suggesting modifications in the original estimate. The present estimate was prepared by Mr. K. Krishna Iyengar and he says in the report accompanying the estimate that attention has been paid to all the requirements pointed (Flag A.

sub as necessary
in hac sc pg. Eugen Redde?

Submitted.

14. As directed yesterday, I went to the Chief Engrs Office to get the information required that office is closed for the Easter. I consulted the manager who told me that the present Estimate for Rs 17,745 included charges on account of Establishment tools & plant and also on account of the extension of the Weir in accordance with a circular recently issued in the D.P.W. He said it was not possible to put up a comparative statement of the original & the present Estimate which is modified as directed by the Chi. Engr. The Heads of works proposed in the modified project are :—

Training banks.	Rs	2854
Relieving weir or Regulator	"	2312
	"	3564
Channel	"	3421
Weirs 2 × 1829	"	3658
		15809
Compensation		250
		16059 to which shd

which comes up in all to Rs 17,745.

As the matter is urgent & as the Private Secy to H.H. has again called for an early report, I submit that perhaps the ~~case~~ file requires to be submitted early for Dewan's orders. To get the original Estimate sanctd for Rs 14303-, it will take time till the D.P.W. Offices are reopened.

~~31/3/04~~

Submitted

31/3/04

31/3/04

In para 1, of his letter of 22nd January, Mr Huthchins says that the proposed Rd works &c are certain to go to ruin & the money spent thereon wd be thrown away. Does this refer to the old estimate or the new. I want to make sure that it does not refer to the new estimate as apparently it cannot. Please ascertain definitely

31. 3. '04

P.T.O.

Submitted.

15 The Chief Engr.'s remarks refer to the new Estimate amounting to Rs 17,745/- He foresees that the money spent on the Head works will be a waste because he says the raiyats will never see to their proper maintenance. (Reference is invited to the concluding portion of para 1 of Ch: Engrs letter). But in para 4 of the same letter he says that if the Inamdars guarantee to look after the Head works & the regulation of the supply in the feeder, the project may be sanctd as the best scheme to adopt under the circumstances

[Perhaps the raiyats will have to be called upon to execute Muchalikas binding themselves to conserve the Hd works in good order & see to the regulation of the supply &c in case the present project is sanctioned].

17

for Rs 14307 is not in the Chief Engr's Office - On enquiry 2 boxes have been forwarded to the Executive Engr's Office

2/4/04

note continued

16. As per orders of the Dewan, the Chief Engineer was informed in this Office N° 3058, dated the 7th April 1904, that the Domatur tank, although regarded as Inam, still being under the management of the Amildar of the Chamarajnagar Taluk along with the village of the Sri Chamarajeswaraswami temple, was entitled to the same care and consideration on the part of both the Revenue and the P. W. Depts, as those situated in Govt villages. He was accordingly requested to submit an estimate providing for the proper safety of the tank in future without its being left to the Inamdars or the villagers to look to its up-keep. He was further requested to

in Dasanur belonged and whether any work should be undertaken on their account in connection with the improvement of the tank.

17 In reply, the Chief Engineer submits* a modified estimate for Rs 16,059/- prepared by the Executive Engineer and states that this proposal is the best under the circumstances. He however repeats that the feeder will soon become useless unless the anicut and the feeder channel are properly maintained by the ryots, on whom he says rests this responsibility and not on Govt in the P.W. Deptt as under the rules in force. He further adds that the gardens* situated above the Domatur Tank are Govt lands of the Nanjangud Taluk, and that

* Letter No. 3699, dated 28th June 1904.

* ~~marked~~ ~~green~~ coloured green and numbered in red ink in the plan submitted by the Chief Engineer.

consequently nothing more than the provision already made in the estimate is required for the protection of these gardens and that the garden owners have executed a Muttchilika stating that they have no objection to the improvements of the tank being carried out, and solicits sanction for the present estimate for Rs 16,059/-.

Submitted

Rs
6/7/04

Please put up a draft sanctioning the present Estimated

6/7/04

Draft submitted.

to the Dewan
through the 2nd Councillor

13/7/04

No.
2
13-7-04.

(21)

[illegible]

14/X.

[illegible]

200/15.VIII.46.

147/46

Submitted to His Highness.

The project amounting to ₹16,059 for restoring the Inam Ummathur tank, may be sanctioned from the savings of ₹53,563, available at the credit of Sri Chamarajeswara temple at Chamrajnagar, to which the inam village belongs.

W This tank was repaired at a heavy outlay of more than ₹20,000 in 1897, but unfortunately it breached in November 1898, in about a year after the repairs were completed and ever since, the raiyats holding lands under the tank have been anxious for its restoration. The present project has been prepared by the D.P.W. with much care and after great scrutiny. As a safeguard against any future damage on account of neglect on the part of the raiyats of the village, they will be required to bind themselves to properly maintain the Anikat and feeder channels under the existing Tank Maintenance Rules.

E

The raiyats are under the standing rules entitled to a remission of half of the full wet assessment till the tank is restored and becomes available for irrigation. The remission will be sanctioned in due course.

21.VII.04

Record of Case submitted for the orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

23.7.6

Subject.

Estimate for restoring the Inam Ummuthur Tank in Chamarajnagar Taluk.

Order.

Sanctioned

~~KRAW~~

26/7/04

27.7.04

28/7/04

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. 30 of ~~190~~ 1894

(Note continued) In Govt. Pro: No: 5624 of 3rd August 1904 the project for Rs 16,059 for the repairs of the Inam Ummathur tank was sanctioned. When the work was commenced, 7 certain ryots of the village complained (Petn no 1700 of 28th October 1904) that if the tank is repaired according to the sanctioned project the ~~tank~~ supply to the tank will be diminished &c & put them to a loss. The petition was forwarded to the Chief Engineer for report. & he reports as follows: —

E

F
Letter No 887 dated 6th July 1905

When the tank was improved or restored in 1896-97, the old weirs (one at the left flank & one at the right) were blocked up and bund extended across the big stream at right end to improve the supply by adding the whole drainage area of nearly 8 square miles and a new weir (210 feet long)

Constructed. It was this weir that breached on 14th November 1898 owing to the soil being quite soft and unreliable. The depth of the breach is 23½ feet from the top of bund & 16 feet from the masonry body wall. The ground at breach was tested by a trial pit and driving in a crowbar and no hard ground was met with for a depth of 10 feet. The ryots wish that this weir should be restored but ~~that~~ the site is quite unsuited.

2. The tank prior to restoration used to get a scanty supply from the feeder drawn from the big stream without any regulator and in consequence the valuable cocoanut gardens situated on both sides of the feeder were being inundated and damaged seriously by the deposit of sand during floods.

3. The proposal recently sanctioned is

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

for Constructing an anekat with a regulator across the big stream and opening out a feeder therefrom to the tank including the re-construction of the old weirs and forming up a training bank to prevent the valuable Cocoanut gardens of Dassanur village from being inundated. The project was prepared after careful inspection of the Site by the Superintending Engineer -

2 - There will be no reduction whatever in the capacity of the tank. It is not possible to restore the breached new weir as prayed for by the ryots and that the supply to the tank is in no way diminished as alleged by them. The Chief Complainants ~~Complaints~~ are presumably those that own lands where the proposed feeder Channel

has to be excavated and those near the left weir and there is no truth in their statements.

The Chief Engineer further reports that the ryots have done nothing in the way of maintaining the bund; since it was restored in 1896-97 and the whole of the bund has badly settled and deep scours formed in the rear slope; the revetment also settled badly in several grades but it may be allowed to stand as it will cost a heavy sum to re-construct with gravel backing. The cost of earth-work and turfing required to bring the bund to its orginal standard, will be Rs 3817 as per Estimate now submitted.

He requests orders of Govt. as to whether this work should be done by ryots or by the P. W. Department.

If the bund is allowed to deteriorate further, it will certainly breach and the large sums of money spent on this tank will be wasted.

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04.

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

The complaint petitions which formed the basis for this report have been now received from the P. Chief Engineer & are in the file -

H

I A similar complaint petition from Subbiah & others of Unnamattur village was forwarded from the Jl & Rev secretariat to the Chief Engineer for report. That officer in reply sent a copy of his letter no 887 d/6/2/05 addressed this office for information - That is said in the Department file has been transferred here for disposal. In the Jl & Rev: Secretariat Office note it is said that a report is due to H.H the Maharaja in this case.

Submitted for orders

RS
1/3/05

Muzrai Secretary's remarks :-

The petitioners may be informed

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

in terms of the Chief Engineer's communication that it is not possible to interfere with the project recently sanctioned which has, after due consideration, been decided upon in the best interests of the raiyats.

On the 2nd point orders are solicited as to whether, under the circumstances of the case, the raiyats should be compelled to do the requisite earth work to the bund at the large cost of Rs 3817. It is not understood why the Deputy Commissioner did not insist upon the raiyats doing the earthwork from time to time. Now the cost and labour have much increased.

Submitted to the Dewan through the II Councillor

V Ananda Sama Rao

31. 3. 1905

Read
31-3-05 Go regarding the first point and this may be joined to

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

stated by the Engr.

The damage done to the bund as stated by the Civil Engineer was subsequent to the breach in 1896. The work was completed in 1897. It cannot be said that the tank breached on account of the Engrs neglecting maintain it but on the contrary it is due to bad work. Rayn was not expected to maintain the bund subsequent to the breach until the work required will be done to the extent of the cost of the temple & mosque

5783/4-4-05
Rund
3/4/05

Muzrai Secretariat. File No of 190

5909

I see quite agree - Make it
the Rayats do bear the work
is adding in mill- bringing
any one concerned with
this Tank work cannot
escape the - Dr. credit
following had etc in hon.
The estimate for the present co
- struction must also include
the earth work - I can not
the finance of the estimate can
- not be acquitted of blame
for not including the earth work
in it and asking for orders about
the source of money - It is much
omissions and the etc number
of badly prepared despatches that
cause annoyance to Rayats
When work as per estimate is
out any earth work had to be
done as now it has to be

Asst Engr 11/4/05
Rec'd 8/4/05

Land has carried out the work as per estimate
& Taking from Tank as the estimate & the
revenue & strictly have been spirit by increased
the channel requirements is liable to be examined
by the Executive Engineer after
which he may sanction the
file will be sent back
to A.E. of
Tank

Muzrai Secretariat. File No of 190

(note Continued) Orders as above were issued and communicated to the Chief Engineer in Govt. Pro: No. 4049-51: dy 1st may 1905. The File muzt 30-94 containing the original orders of the Dewan was also sent to the Chief Engineer for reference and return. It has accordingly been returned with the Chief Engineer's

K

Docket-no 4551 dated 11th July 1905

Now the Chief Engineer in his O. M. no 4309 dy 29/6/05 submits for sanction an Estimate for Rs 1686 being the cost of Establt., Tools and Plant charges which were not included in the project sanctioned for Rs 16059 for the restoration of the Oomakur tank. submitted

Rs 1577/05

Muzrai Secretary's remarks:-

Orders are solicited on

the payment of the sum of Rs 1686/- to the P W Department being charges at 10 per cent on account of establishment and 1/2 per cent on account of Tools & plant, calculated on the cost of the project. This is a usual charge made by the P.W.D. Department, on works executed by them on behalf of other Departments, and may, it is submitted, be sanctioned.

I beg at the same time to take this opportunity of respectfully representing that the payment of this large percentage over and above the actual cost incurred on the works, operates with hardship on the Mazrai Institutions concerned whose

accumulated savings are made up of small amounts saved year after year from the income from endowments The percentage in question is paid in cash from the funds of the temples, while it is not disbursed to any individuals for work executed, but is taken to the credit of Govt in the P. W. Department. If, under these circumstances, the works executed for the Muzrai institutions are kindly treated as Government works, and the charge for establishment and tools and plant are excused, it would be a great boon to the Muzra Department. I venture to submit the point for

Muzrai Secretariat File No of 190

favourable consideration.

Submitted to the Dewan / thro' the 2nd Councillor — Thanka Saran Rao 23rd July 1905.

Read 24.7.05.

As, this has been a new question for exemption from payment of establishment & Seals & plants charges. It is usual for the D.P.W to include the same with the estimate. If however the Dewan is pleased to make an exception in favour of Muzrai works, it will be an advantage. The amount involved is Rs 1656.

S Muthyal 26/7/05

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04. 25/7/05 785/7.7.05

Muzrai Secretaria File No. 30 of 190

8097/ Secretary's general remarks, and enclosures in this Institution for work. Channagiravara hamlet is a large Inamdar hold & several villages & the lands concerned are of a small value amount claimed by the D.C. may be paid - but when real Muzrai buildings alone are concerned I shall take the matter into consideration if pressed.

13 Q. VIII 05

Read
17/8/05
Put up draft at once sanctioning the
Gn 1 amount in the present case.
17/8/05

Cl

orders issued - vide Govt.
Secs no 1004-6 dated 24th
Mug & 30-94
August 1905.

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04.

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04.

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

(note Continued)

In Govt. Pro: 4049-51 d/ 1st May 1905 certain remarks observations were made by the Dewan Sahib, wherein he remarked " remarking upon the P.W.D for not including the earthwork for the repairs of the bund in the Project sanctioned for the reconstruction of the Iruam oommattur tank. In the present communication, the Chief Engineer states with reference to those observations that (1) the breach was entirely confined to the Weir and had nothing to do with the bund which has been neglected to be maintained by the ryots ever since the tank was restored in 1896-7, & that the breach at the weir was due to the bad site & not to bad work as observed in the Govt. Proceedings.

(2) that as regards the ryots liability to maintain the bund of the tank

E. K

in connection with on page 31 of this note

No 94 d/ 6th January 1906

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

It is evident that the maintenance thereof rightly devolves on the ryots as it is not an old breached tank, the bund never having breached either before or after 1896-97; and further that the tank continued to retain water up to the required level and cultivation had gone on under it without interruption from 1896-97, the date of its restoration. The remissions made in 1900-01 & 1904-05 were due to deficient rainfall and consequent deficient supply in the tank. ~~to do the~~

(3) that as the old bund which was restored to a definite standard in 1896-97 has not been maintained by the ryots which is their legimi ta work, the cost of earthwork necessi thereby was rightly excluded from the Estimate for rebuilding the weir

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

In the light of the explanations offered above, the Chief Engineer requests that Govt will see their way to withdraw the remarks made in the Proceedings under reference that the "deterioration of the bund and the consequent loss of revenue was due to bad work". An estimate for Rs 17000 for restoring the bund, received from the Asst Engr is reported to be submitted for orders } under sanctioning

Rs 17/2/06 in the Ex: Engrs Office and the Chief Engineer says that it will be considered when received.

Subd to the Dewan through the 2d Commissioner } A Subramaniam Ag 19.2.06

Rec 19.2.06 I do still remain that the D. C. W. Co also

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

---

responsible for this
branch. Chief Engineer
says that the site
on which the work
is now constructed was.
and was not that the
contractor's estimate
3 times as much for
land of a masonry C. G.
weir. Govt. would
not have declined
to sanction the latter
cost if the matter
had been properly
represented to Govt.

DJ 21/2/06

11485
24.2.06

Send
23/2/06

11486

Submitting the Abstract Estimate
for Rs. 25,000
28/3/06

Submitted for
consideration
7/2/06

Estimate is
Rs. 25,000-00
27/2/06

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05.

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

(note continued) In Govt. Pro:
E' no 562-4 & 1904-06 dated 3rd & 24th
E' august 1905, estimates aggregating
Rs (16059 + 1686) = 17745- were sanctioned
for the restoration of the Iyan Oomahu
tank in the Chamarajnagar Taluk.
no 2869 P d/1/5/06 The chief Engineer now reports that the
work has been taken up and good
progress made in the work and that
during execution certain excesses
amounting to Rs 853 have been
found necessary. He therefore sub-
-mits for sanction of government
a revised Estimate for Rs 18.598-
The main reasons for this excess of
Rs 853/- are ~~stated to be~~ :—
1) The foundation of the right weir
of the tank had to be lowered by 1'
more than the sanctd depth-
2) The Head Sluice ... dation had also

File No of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

to be deepened 1' more than the
Sanctd depth
(3) While the foundations of Head Sluice
and relieving weirs were being excavated
Springs were met with and hence water
had to be baled out &c. &c. &c.
Submitted with a draft
3/5/06
4/5/06
(Note continued)
Orders Sanctioning the revised
Estimate for Rs 18598/- were accordingly issued
in Govt Pro. no 5148-50 d/ 10 May 1906.
The Chief Engineer & Letter no 3077
now Submits for Sanction a d/ 10/5/06
Supplemental Estimate for Rs 5359
for bringing up the bund of the
Sanctd Standard & resetting the revetment
In Govt Pro: no 4049-57 of retaining
dated 1' May 1905 certain observations

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

were made remarking upon the P.W.D for not including this work viz repairs to the bund, in the original Project and it was also remarked in that order that the breach of the tank was due to bad work. on this the chief Engineer offred certain explanations in connexion the P.W dept and requested Govt to withdraw their remarks. On this With reference to this letter the chief Engineer was informed under the orders of the late Dewan that the matter would be taken into consideration when the estimate for restoring the bund was received for sanction. Now in submitting the Estimate for Rs 5359 for this bund work the chief Engineer -

X Vide Pages 37-39 of this note

D This office no 4342 d/ 30/3/06

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

adds by way of supplemental explanation that the bund is entirely composed of black cotton soil and no other is available within a reasonable distance, that experience on thousands of tanks has shown that revetments to bunds of tanks composed of such soil (especially those built without gravel backing) always settle in the same way after a few years and that this revetment which was built 9 years ago is no exception to the rule. He further reports that after a few years i.e. 5 or 6 years some further repairs will be necessitated for the same reason & there is no remedy for this, & that it is cheaper to bear the cost of dismantling and resetting the revetment at

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05.

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05.

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

intervals of 6 years or so than to try and adopt other expensive expedients which would probably end in failure. He adds that the Superintending Engineer will be asked to order the Ex Engineer to personally inspect and report on the causes of the leaks at the sluices, that small holes bored by crabs & fish in this black soil would form leaks which would soon develop to serious dimensions and this is probably the cause. He solicits early sanction

14/5/06 — submd two orders & the draft to Devanahalli thro

14/5/06 the 2nd Councillor. Esq

Read 15.5.06. the Estimate be sanctioned

15/5/1906

The Estimate should of course be sanctioned. It is hoped

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-01.

Serial No.

that the work of restoration & repairs will be carried out in a thoroughly satisfactory manner and the stability of the Bank placed on a secure basis.

15/5/06

15/5/06

Submitted to the Dewan Saheb

17/5/06

720
18/5/06

Submitted to His Highness.

The Ummathur Inam Tank belonging to the Sri Chamarajanagarswami Temple at Chamraj-nagar was restored in 1897 at a cost of Rs. ~~20,760~~ 22,833. The weir thereof having breached in 1898, estimates amounting in all to Rs.18,598/ have been sanctioned for carrying out the necessary repairs. These estimates provided for constructing an anikat with a regulator, opening out a feeder to the tank, the reconstruction of the old weirs, and forming up training bank to prevent the cocoanut gardens of the Dasanur village from being inundated; but not for earth work.

Paper under Despatch

It is now stated that the works are nearing completion, and that to bring the bund to a standard of safety, the following works costing Rs.5,359 are required--

(1). Bringing earthwork of bund to standard.

(2). Resetting badly settled portions of

revetment.

(3). Raising a right flank bund to same level as main bund. And,

(4). Repairing sluices, which are reported to be leaky.

It is also stated that the nature of the soil requires the dismantling of portions of bund from time to time. The present estimate may accordingly be sanctioned.

The Deputy Commissioner of Mysore will be asked to enforce strictly the liability of raiyats holding lands under the tank to maintain the bund after restoration, while allowing them at the same time to cut and remove the grass growing on the rear slopes of the bund.

1/8/1936 /Rajamannar Rao

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Supplemental Estimate for cetain additional works to be done to the Tham Ummatoor Tank, Chamarajanagar Taluk.

1030

961

Order.

Approved
~~KRW~~
5/6/06

Reed 7.6.06.

Issue to the 2nd
before issue
7/6/06

Office for draft
8/6/06

Issue the draft put up
06

8/6/06

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

Note Continued.

No 5803-5 Dt 19.6.06-
3219-21 Dt 20-12-06-

Two Estimates amounting to Rs 5922/- were Sanctioned ie one for Rs. 5359/- for the restoration of the tank and the other for Rs 563/- for the usual Establishment & Tools & Plant Charges.

No 1848 & 2846 Dt 13th March & 19th April 1907

Rs. 2003/- for certain repairs to damages.
" 820/- for the Construction of a cart bridge.
Rs. 2823/-

The Chief Engineer forwards two Estimates amounting to Rs 2823/- for Sanction for certain repairs to damages caused to the feeder Channel and head works of the tank by the floods and for increasing the water way of the nala above and below the anikat and for the Construction of a cart bridge across the feeder Channel of the tank for the convenience of the villagers and proposes that the cost of the bridge may be borne by Government and not. He further states that the above Estimates may be treated

E.E. Temple

as

51

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

Supplemental

Submit

Rs
1/5/07

Large sums are being, from time to time, sanctioned for this work. The supplemental estimates now received (for Rs 2823/—) may it is submitted be sanctioned in the manner proposed by the Chief Engineer.

3/5/07

Submit to the Ld Cl. /—

May be sanctioned. But When is this ceaseless expenditure to end? I am afraid that the surplus of this Temple will soon disappear at this rate

4/5/07

Draft & issue
6/5/07
Issued 7/5/07

7/5

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat.	File No. of 190

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

No. 5775

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.
CENTRAL OFFICE,
Bangalore, 23rd November 1894.

From

Colonel C. Bowen.
The Chief Engineer of Mysore,

Bangalore

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore —

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 7738-40 dated 3rd October 1891, sanctioning Rs 240 from Muzrey Funds, a project for the restoration of the Inam Donathur Tank in Chamrajnagar Taluk, for Rupees 15,953 (altered to Rs. 16,794 as intimated in this Office No. 4757 dated 24th idem), I have the honor to submit, for the sanction of Government, the accompanying Revised estimate of Rs. 21,454 providing for an excess outlay of Rs. 4,660, the necessity for which is fully explained by the Executive Engineer in the Report and Comparative Statement.—

II.— About Rupees 2,000 of the above excess is absorbed by the proposed extension of bund across the Dasanur valley to bring in 8 sqre miles of catchment, instead of restoring 1/2 miles of feeder as originally. For reasons given in para 2

of the Report, the extension of bund must be carried out. The Assistant Chief Engineer, who recently made an inspection of this Tank, considers this the best alter= =native. The omission to provide for it in the first project is due to the careless= =ness of Supervisor Madhava Rao who prepared that project or rather to his having provided a manifestly in= sufficient amount for restoring the feeder, which would have rendered an extension of the bund of Tank itself un= =necessary. The Executive Engineer himself is far from blameless, as he should have verified the statements of the Supervisor=

III.— Early sanction is solicited, the work being in progress, and the Executive Engineer being anxious to complete it within the current official year.—

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant.

C. Haven Colonel.
Chief Engineer of Mysore.—

Bangalore
23rd November
1894

Enclosures.

1. Report.
1. Revised Estimate.
1. Comparative Statement.
1. Revised Constructive details.
1. ~~Addl~~ Engr. Sur Madhava Rao's report.
1. Revised Survey plan Sheet No. I
1. do — Section Sheet No. 2 —

I

99.
575

1894/95.

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.

6794

No. 4. R1924

From

The Chief Engineer
of Mysore.

To The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore 23d November 1894.

Despatched

Enclosures No. 7

SUBJECT.

Submitting for sanction of Government a Revised estimate of Rupees 21,454 for the restoration of the Iman Domattiar Tank in Chamarajanagar Taluk.

462

Re 2.
4 12.94

Rs 12454 15/15-2-95
R1835 15

Transferred to Mysore
By Order
[illegible]
Under Secretary

28/12/94

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—12-9-93 95.

General Reservoir
Please put up the
reply of 3d Oct 91
referred to in the letter.
28/11

Read: in full: Records
in the 30/11/94 SL3

To Rev. Branch
Please obtain this no
from Mungaru Secretary's Office
SL3.
30/11/94 30/11/94

To
The Mungaru Deputy
Please put up the
reply quoted-written
30/11

To D.C. Mysore No 728 of 30-99 7/8/95

of the Chamarajeswara swami temple after deducting the sum of Rs 16,794 sanctioned already in Govt. Pro. No. 8761-3 Muz. 269 dated 12th November 1891.

2. A very early reply is requested.

By order,

Muzrai Secretary.

- Your draft

(General Serial No. 31)

1895. 469

From The Dy Commissr
Anyore Distt.

To

The [illegible] Dy
Commr
Anuppur Distt

Date

Despatched 26 February/95

Received [illegible]

Enclosures No

General No. 855
No.

Ref
after as 25/2/95

this case file No. 728
30-94
dated 18th February 1895

— " —

In reply have the
honor to state that
a sum of Rs. 3364-5-1,
remains at Credit
of the Chamrajnaga
-rasamani temple
after deducting the
sum

Amount of Rs: 1679 4.

Goldsmith
for Ag Commissr
Mysore Distt

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1895

MUZARAI.

From

To Chief Engineer, Comptroller & D.C. Mysore

Dated 28th February 1895

Despatched 1/3

Received

Enclosures No. 7+1

General No. 757-9
Muzarai F. No. 30-94

Sanctioning a revised estimate for Rs 4660/- for repairing the Comathur Tank in the Chamarajanagar Taluk.

1895...

Read —

Ypc 320 eVo 5761 &
eH 269

dated 12th eVember 1894

Sanctioning an estimate

amounting to Rs 16/494/-

for restoring the Connaur

Tank in the Channarayapatna

Taluk.

Letter eVo 5760 dy

23rd eVr 94 from the

Chief Engineer of Mysore

~~Soliciting~~ Submitting for

~~Sanction~~ Sanction

Sanction a revised estimate

~~for the restoration~~

amounting to Rs 21454/-

~~of Rs 16494/-~~ to complete

the restoration of the afore-

said tank.

Docket eVo 855

dy 20th February 1895

from the Dy Commr,

Mysore District recording

that a sum of Rs 364-5-11
now remains at the credit
of the Chamarajendra Swamy
temple at Chamarajnagar
after deducting the sum
of Rs 16794/- already
sanctioned for the repair
of the tank in the Govt
garden referred above.

Order thereon —

The revised estimate
as submitted amounts
to Rs 21454. The esti-
mate already sanctioned
in the year of 1891 is —
Rs 16794. The excess
of the balance to be
now sanctioned is
Rs 4660/-. The Chief
Engineer states that

V R —

No 757-9 dt 58/12/13
Mysore 30-94 17/3/15
Engg

of this latter amount, about Rs 2000/- is absorbed by the extension of bund across the Sesamur valley to bring in 2 Sq. miles of catchment instead of 1½ miles of feeder as originally, that the extension of bund ~~would~~ was necessary, that the omission to provide for it in the original estimate is due to the concession of supervisor, Madhava Rao who prepared that estimate, and that the ~~Chief~~ Executive Engineer himself is far from blameless, as he should have verified the statements of the Supervisor.

2. The report of the Executive Engineer

Ellapore Divn. Sluices that the original estimate provided a lump sum of Rs. 200/- only for repairing the sluices, that on examination it was found that they required extensive repairs, that one of them (Sluice No 2) was found so bad that nearly the whole of it had to be done afresh, and that the execution of the aforesaid additional works necessitated an excess ~~expenditure~~ outlay of ~ Rs. 4660/-.

3 Under the foregoing circumstances, the excess outlay of Rs. 4660/- is sanctioned, the charge being met from the sources

Specified below:—

3364 5 11 — From the amount available at the credit of the Chamarajeswara Swamy temple.

1295-10-1
Rural 4660-0-0 From the future collections of the Kurru villages attached to the said temple.

4. The Comptroller to the Govt of Mysore will arrange for the needful transfer of this account to the Public Works Dept.

Secretary
6.7.

To The Chief Engineer of Mysore with the passed estimate and other enclosures.

" Comptroller, Mysore Govt with a copy of the revised abstract estimate.

" Dy Commr, Mysore District, for information.



From

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
P. W. Department

To

The Muzrai Secretary

Dated 5th November

Despatched

Received

General No. 5342

Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. 1 Est & 1 Report

Forwarding a Suppl. Estimate for Rs 2035- for the restoration of the Oomathur Tank attached to the Sri Chamarajes- -waraswami temple at Chamarajnagar.

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT,

CENTRAL OFFICE,

Bangalore, 5th November 1897

No. 5547
Encls: 1 Estimate
1 Report

From The Chief Engineer of Mysore

To The Secretary to Govt of Mysore
Mazrai Department

SIR,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 757-9 Mazrai 230-94 dated 28th February 1895, according sanction to the project of Rs 21,454, for the restoration of the Domathur Tank attached to the Chamarajaswamy Swami Temple in Chamarajnagar Taluk, - I have the honor to inform you that the work is reported to have been completed at a cost of Rs 20,808, or with a saving of Rs 646, and handed over to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

II. I enclose an Estimate of Rs 2025 received from the Superintending Engineer, Western Circle, for turfing the slopes, and gravelling the top of the bund of above Tank. Provision for these items was not made in the large project referred to above, but they are necessary for the preservation of

B. 2025?

Early

MUZRAI.

189 7

From

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

To

The Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated 16th Nov.

Despatched 16/11

Received V

General No. 527

Muzrai F. No. 67-92-30

Enclosure No.

Has the honor to request to be furnished with the Treasury Officer's certificate shewing the amount of savings now available at the credit of the Sri Chamarajeswaraswami temple at Chamarajnagar.

By order

AS

Muzr Secy

389



From The Commissioner Mysore District

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Dated 30th Muzrai Departme

Despatched 3

Received 2 Dec 97 November 1897

General No. 94

No. 94

Enclosure No. 1

As called for in his Office docket No 527 30-94 ~~67 92~~ dated 16th Instant, has the honor to for- ward herewith the Treasury Officer's certi- ficate shewing the amount of Savings available at the credit of the Sri Chamrajeswara

Chamrajnagar.

M. Shamanna Rao
for Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

Read:—

Govt Pro no

167-9 d) 28th Feb 95

Mur F 30-94

Sanctioning an Estimate amounting to Rs 21454 for the restoration of the Vommatur Tank in the Irom Village of the same name attached to the Sri Chennakeswara-swamy Temple at Channajenaga.

And:—

Letter No 3542 d) 5th Nov 1897 from the Chief Engr reporting that the restoration

MUZRAI..

1897

From

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

To

Dated 13"

Despatched December 1897.

Received

General No. 691-2

Muzrai F. No. 30. 94

Enclosure No.

been completed at a
Est. of Rs 20808.
and form duly for
Sanction on Estimate
for amounting to
Rs 2035- for turfing
the slopes and pa-
-ving the top of the
tank bund as these
works are necessary.
for the preservation
of the work already
done

Order thereon.

The Estimate for Rs.
2025- is Sanctioned.
~~and returned to~~
2. The Comp.r. to put will
be requested to place the
amount at the disposal
of the D.C.N. Dept. debiting the
charge to the Savings of the
for Channarayapatna Tank
Temple ~~circle~~ &

To
The Chief Engr.
&c. &c. the Estim
The Comp.r to put
with Copy
& Treasury

MUZRAI.

1898

From

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Dated 15

Despatched 16 March '98

Received

General No. 1148.

Muzrai F. No. 30.94

Enclosure No 4

Has the honor to forward herewith (in original) the Completion Bill, received from the Executive Engineer, Mysore Division, for the repairs executed to ~~tanks~~ in the Main village of ~~the~~ ~~D~~ Amanikatte Tank &c., under authority of Govt. Pro: No. 757-9 Muz. J. 30-94 Dy 28 "February '95 and to request that the Bill

and its annexures





Gen. 28]

119

From The Executive Engineer Mysore Division

To The Secretary Irrigation Department.

Dated 28-5-98

Despatched 31.

Received

General No. 316

No.

Enclosure No.

This office no. 155-97-2-9, forwarding completion certificate for Restoring the Irrigation tank Reg. no. 2 - Bhamrajapur Lake -

— " —

Has the honour to request the favour of

To the Same no 1457 d/6/6/98

Refer 11/6-98

MUZ. F. 1.

169

To the Ex. Engr. [illegible]
immediately on its receipt
[illegible] in the Dy. Office.

B.O

A1

Asst. Secy

Clerk

From

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

To The Ex. Engr. DW
Mysore Div.

Dated 6th June 98

Despatched 7th June 98

Received

General No. 1457
Muz. F. No. 30-94.

Enclosure No.

His No. 3168/28 th
May 1898 requesting
[illegible]
the early return of
the completion like
the repairs, exe-
-cuted to the Hun-
-matten Tank.
in Channarayapa-
tna Taluk.

Has the honor
to state that the

Completion bill
has been forwarded
to the Dr.: Suppose Dr.
for ~~him as~~ the need
of a certificate
by mail (as the Dr
now offers) in
regard to the Satis-
factory Completion
of the same dismal
repairs - A reminder
has ~~this morning~~
been sent to the Dr.:
today calling for the
early return of the
Completion bill.
And the bill
will be sent back

From
The Executive Engineer
Mysore Division

To
The Secretary —
Secretariat —
Bangalore

Dated 20-6-98

Despatched

Received

General No. 3322
No.

Enclosure No.

With reference to
his No. 1457 / 3995 of 16.6.98
has the honor to
request to furnish
the Communication
of the completion
Certificate in



MUZRAI. Urgent

1898

From

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

To The Dy. Commissioner
Mysore District.

Dated 24
Despatched June 1898.
Received
General No. 1574
Muz. F. No. 30-94

Enclosure No. 1

With reference to this office no. 1148 Muz 30-94 Dy 15th March 1898, and in continuation of telegram despatched this day, has the honor to forward a copy of Docket No. C 332 dated 20th June 1898 received this day from the Ex: Engineer, Mysore, and to request that the Completion Bill for the repairs of the Immattur Tank

may be returned to
this Office forthwith
with a record of the
Dy Commissioner's
certificate called for.

By order

Draft. W

Max Leary

189

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No. 4.

of Channathoor and found that the work in some respects required amending, and that the question of the requisite work to be done to the tank was before the executive Engineer Mysore Division to whom a reference had been made on the subject.

The Completion bill and its Annexures, received with the docket under reply are herewith returned

Yours Obt Servt
For Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

From

The Executive Engineer
Mysore Division

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

23rd
Dated 28th March 1898.

Despatched

Received

General No. 265
No.

Enclosure No. 2

Has the honor to bring to the notice of the Deputy Commissioner that the Inam Amrathur tank Regd No 27 attached to Sri Bhamarajeswara Swamy temple under the management of the Muzarai Department in Bhamarajanagar Taluq was restored by this Department at a cost of Rs 20,808 and the fact of the completion of the restoration has been communicated to him in view to its future maintenance by the Department Concerned vide List of Irrigation works restored for the half year ending 30th June 1897.

2. Subsequently an estimate amounting to Rs 2025 for-

turfing the slopes and gravelling the 70th of
bund was prepared and sanctioned in the maz.
rai Department as per Superintending Engineer's
no. 524 of 28.12.97 as the original estimate did
not provide for these items.

3. The overseer no. 3 Sub-Division now submits a
supplemental estimate herein enclosed for bring
ing up the bund to grade level and says that the
sanctioned works referred to in para 2 supra can
not be executed unless the present estimate is
sanctioned.

4. Under these circumstances request that
orders may kindly be issued for bringing up the
bund to grade level through the ryots concerned as no
provision made in the estimate referred to in para 2
at as early a date as possible since the sanctioned
estimate for turfing cannot be put in hand till
then.

Sd/- V. Vijayaswami Iyer
Offg Executive Engineer
Mysore Division

"Copy"

C. C. to Madhavacharya

Executive Engineer
Mysore Division

mk

MUZRAI

189

From

To The ~~Dy~~ Ex. Engr. Nagpore.

Dated 3d June 98

Despatched

Received

General No. 1522

Muzrai F. No. 35 - 94

Enclosure No. 5

In Continuation of this office No 1457 dy 6th June 98

Muz 730-94

And. with ref.e to his docket No 3320 dy 20th Inst, has the honor to forward for in-formn. & reqr

of the Docket
No 265 of 1924th
Inst- read read
from the Dr Mysore
regarding the
repairs to the Ummatur Tank
Tank. in the
Main Village
at of Ummatur,

2. ~~The Complete~~
The Measure-
-ment lists of the
Completion Bills relating to the work
are herewith
returned.

B. O
WA
Mun. Secy



From

The Executive Engineer
Irrigation Division
A

To

The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore
Muzrai Department

Dated 6th July 1898

Despatched 9th July 1898

Received

General No. 605
No.

Enclosure No. 2

With reference to your letter No. 1522/30 of 3069 returning without signature the Completion Certificate for improving the Kram Amathur tank Reg. No 27 Channarayapatna Taluq and forwarding copy of Deputy Commissioner's No 265 of 25.6.98 wherein it is stated that Amildar had inspected the repairs executed to the tank and found that the work in some respects required mending etc.

In returning the Completion report and the Certificate has the honor to bring to notice that what the Amildar states is about the

Vide no 98 dt 13/7/98

about the repairs which are yet going on under estimate for Rs 2025 sanctioned in Govt: Proceedings no 691-2/Mis3074 of 13.12.97 which cannot be pushed on to completion until the amildar urges on the ryots concerned to bring up the bund to grade level as reported to the Deputy Commissioner in this office no 265/2 of 23.3.98 (Copy enclosed for kind perusal). It is believed that the Deputy Commissioner has issued needful orders to the amildar and if so, it is not known why he states that the repairs which are yet unfinished require bunding while the satisfactory completion of the sanctioned repairs depends on his bringing up the bund to proper level.

2. The certificate required is for the Improvement Work as per estimate for Rs 21454 sanctioned in Government Proceedings no 1343/133½ of 21.3.95 and has no connection with the repairs referred to in para 1. It may be kindly signed and returned.

C. A. Venkatarama Iyer

Executive Engineer
Irrigation Division

mk

Erode

MUZRAI

189

From Executive Engineer, Trichy Dr.

To The Superintending Engineer, Mysore

Dated 13

Despatched 14 . 7 . 98

Received

General No. 98

Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. 3

This No. 2659/24 June 1898. returning the Completion Bill for the repairs to the Immattam in the PW Depot with the remark that the works in some respects require improvement.

Improvement works.

in the ~~Original~~ ~~Amended~~ ~~Estimate~~ ~~Sanctioned~~

~~as~~ Sanctioned in the previous order of this No. 57-9 Dy. 28th Feby 95 Exur 30-9-94 which alone the Completion bills under adjustment relate.

2. In these circs the ~~Original~~ Completion bills are returned to the Dy. ~~with a request to~~ for record of this certificate as to the satisfactory execution of the works already carried out.

B O

CA

I do not consider it
necessary to forward herewith
Copy of work &
No. 603. of 6th July 98.
Since received from the
Ex. Engr. Nagpore Dn.
wherein ~~that officer~~
reports that the
works referred to in the
above as regions
pending are in
Connection with the
~~the~~ ~~Additional Supply~~ separate works ~~to~~ proposed to be
carried out by Electric
Sanctioned in the
Govt. Pro. No. 691-2
Mur F30-94.
dt. 13th Dec/97 and ~~have~~ that there
is no Connection whatever

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No. 45
No.

Enclosure No.

Specification originally sanctioned for the work for reference in Connection with the Completion-bill referred to above.

[illegible]
Commissioners
Mysore Division

MURRAI

189

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No. 414

Murrai P. No.

Enclosure No. 1

In the case of the ~~undersigned~~ Market No 45 of 14th Sept 1898, has the honor to forward herewith the 2 Copies of the Abstract Estimate Amounting to Rs 21454 sanctioned in Boro. ~~letter~~ 7857-9 Murr 783-94 of 28th Feb 95 for the repairs of the Excavation

From

The Secretary
Mysore Public works
Bangalore

No 3789 of 14/10/98

To

The Chief Engineer
of Mysore

Has the honor to submit for sanction the accompanying Supplimental Estimate of Rs 284 for restoring the Inam Oomathur Tank in Chamarajnagar Taluk. The necessity for this additional expenditure has been fully explained in the report accompanying the Estimate.

2. The file connected with the work is forwarded for reference and obliging return

Funds will have to be provided by the Muzrai Department

"Signed" H D. McKechnie
Superintending Engineer
Western Circle

Mysore
14th Oct 98

No 2

Submitted to the Secretary to Government Muzrai Department with a request that he will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government to the accompanying Estimate of Rs as in

13th supplimental to the Estimate
of Rs 2025/- sanctioned in Pro. o
691-2 dated 13th December
1897 and to the amount being
placed at the disposal of
Department. The report explain
the necessity for this additional
outlay. Signed: D M Campbell
Chief Engineer & Secy
P W D.

Encls 1 Report
1 Estimate by

24-10-98

Read:-

Govt Resolution No. 691-2

Revenue 730-94

Dt. 13th December 1897 Saunth.

An Estimate for Rs 2025

for. turfing the

Slopes and pavement

the top of the ~~Tank~~

bund of the tank

in the Inam Village of

Uvan matter belonging

to the Sri Thanumanpuram

Swami Temple at

Channapatna.

And.

Official Memo. No.

2072 4th Octo 98 from

the Chief Engr. of

Mysore forwarding

for Sanction an esti-

MUZRAI

189

From THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To

Dated 22
Despatched 22-11-78
Received
General No. 565 — 744
Muzrai F. No. 30 — 3
Enclosure No.

The Munsiff's Supplemental Estimate Amounting to Rs 284 for further works in connection with the Improvement of the Tank Bund.

Order thereon

The Supplemental Estimate for Rs 284 is sanctioned.

2. The Comptr. will be requested to place the Amount at the disposal of the Dy Dept debiting the charge to the same available at the credit of the Sri Thanumanaperuma-swamy Temple at Channarayapatna.

Ch

Mun Secy

To the Ch. Engr.
Dy
The Comptr.



From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Refer 01 11 5 99

Enclosure No.

the same no 1224 dt 16/5/99

MUZRAI

1899

From

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Dated 13th May.

Despatched 15th

Received

General No. 1211
Muzrai F. No. 30-94

Enclosure No. 1

In forwarding herewith for information copy of Docket No. A/59 dated 2nd May 1899 received from the Executive Engineer, Mysore Division, requesting the early return of the Completion Certificate in re the restoration of the Umatur Tank, has the honor to invite the Deputy Commissioner's attention to this office No. 98 Muzrt 30-94

dated 13th July 1898 and to request that the Completion bill referred to in para 2 thereof may be returned to this office at an early date after recording thereon the Deputy Commissioner's certificate as to ~~the satisfactory execution~~ how the works in question have been executed

By order,

Assistant Secretary

CWSW

Camp. No 21

Mysore P. W. Department.

Bangalore 15-5-1899

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 17-5-99
REC No 639
MUZRAI BRANCH

From

Chief Engineer
The ~~Secretary to the Government~~ of Mysore.
P. W. Department.

To

The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Muzrai Department

Sir,

I have the honor to forward the accompanying project, amounting to Rs 14,307, for reconstructing the damaged weir of Oomattur Main Tank, Reg No 27, Chamarajanuggar Taluk, also for resetting the revetment in a few places in the bund, and to request that you will be so good as to obtain early sanction of Government thereto. The report attached to the estimate explains the necessity for the work provided for.

II. As it is desirable to have the work completed before the next rains, the Superintending Engineer, Western Circle, has, in anticipation of sanction, ordered the collection of materials.—

III. The Deputy Commissioner's countersignature to this project should have been obtained by the Executive Engineer, as usual, but it is considered inadvisable to delay the work on this account. The Executive Engineer will be directed to supply the omission as soon as the project goes back to him for execution.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obed.t Servt.

J. M. Chesshire Colonel,
Chief Engineer of Mysore.

2 Enclosures.-
1 Estimate
1 Report
1 Tracing.
3.
[illegible]

To Hs

General Serial No. 30.

189 .

From

The Municipal Secretary.

To

The Executive Engineer,
Mysore Division

Dated 16
Despatched 17/ 5 99
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 1224
Municipal No. 30-94

His docket No. A/59 dated 2nd May 1899, requesting the early return of the completion certificate for the restoration of the Amateur Tank.

Has the honor to state that the completion certificate in question has been forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District on 14th July 1898, for the record of the necessary certificate by him as he is the proper officer to do the same. A reminder has this

11

to

the

e

a

l

a

day time visit to this
Colliery for the early
return of the hill coal
the time will be send
back to the receiving
Engineer as soon as said
is received from the
Respty Companies Agents

By order
[illegible]
Assistant Secretary.

Ref.

MUZRAI

189

From

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To

The Dy Comr,
Mysore Dt.

Dated 17
Despatched 17 May 99
Received

General No. 1230
Muzrai F. No. 30-94
Enclosure No.

Re a ~~revised~~ fresh project amounting to Rs 14307 for reconstructing the damaged weir of the Kunakere Tank has been submitted for the early sanction of Govt by the Chief Engineer, who the honor to request to be furnished at a very early date with the Treasury officer's certificate for the amount of savings now available at

the

the credit of the
Sir Thomas Pasteur and
Simon Temple at Cham-
pflagar

Byrden
Ld
Hutt Lees
Dig.

MUZRAI

189

From

To

The Chief Engineer
of Mysore.

Dated 20 ~~P. W. dept.~~

Despatched 22 - 5 99

Received

General No. 1244

Muzrai F. No. 30 — 94

Enclosure No.

His letter No. C. 21
dated 15th May 1899,
forwarding for Sanction
a fresh project amount
ing to Rs 14,307 for
re-constructing the
damaged weir of the
~~Yma~~ Immature Imam
Tank, Regd No. 27,
Channarajnagar Taluk.

Has the honour to
state that as the
project

project is estimated
to cost so much as
Rs 14307, the Dy Comr,
Mysore dt, has been
written to to report
forthwith the amount
of funds now available
at the credit of the Sri
Channarajeswaraswamy
temple to which the
Inam tank in question
belongs and that the
reference under reply
will be disposed of
on receipt of the
Dy Comr's reply.

B.C.O.

AS
Asst Secretary

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

1898-9

From

The Dy Commissioner

To Mysore District

The Secretary to the

Govt ~~Dewan~~ of Mysore Muzrai Deptt

Dated 8 2 June 1899

Despatched

Received

Enclosures—No 2

General No 208

No.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 14.6.99
PEG No 713
MUZRAI BRANCH

I am directed to
be called for
by the Govt
vide Enquiry
as
11/6/99

As called for
in his docket no 1211
30-94
dated 13th Ultimo,
has the honor to
return herewith the
Completion bill for
the works executed
to the Inam Um-
-mattoor Kankun
the Inam Na-

Vide no 1: 1 dy 15/6/99

Taluk with the required certificate.

E Marshall
Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

Certified that the balance at the
credit of Rao Chandrajeswara
Swami Temple at Chandragiri
Nellore on 1st May 1899 is Rs.
32643-4-9.

Nellore
29th June 1899

Matthews
Treasury officer
Nellore

MUZRAI

189

From

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To

The Ex Engr
Kysore Dt.

Dated 15th June 1899

Despatched 16th

Received

General No. 1841

Muzrai F. No 30 94 Jwo,

Enclosure No.

In contn of this
Office no 1224 dd.
Muzr 7 30-94

16th May 99 has the
honor to return herewith
the Completion Bill
for repairs executed
by the P. W. dept
to the Ummathur
Tank ~~this Choultry~~
belonging to the
Sri Thammaperuma

Channraj Nagaraj

~~hath a claim~~.

& to state that the

Completion Certificate

has been issued

on the bill of the

Dy. of Mysore Dt.

B.O

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

1898-9

From

The Dy Commissioner

To

Mysore District

The Secretary to the

Govt ~~of~~ of Mysore

Muzrai Deptt.

Dated 30th

Despatched

Received June 1899.

Enclosures—~~Nil~~ one

General No. 218

No.



Reper 01/3/7 97.

As called for in his office docket No 1312 M.7 30-94 dated 5th Instant has the honor to forward herewith the Treasury Officer's Certificate Showing the amount of Savings available at the credit of the Sri Chamarajeswara

Temple at Chamrajnagar.

[illegible]

For Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

1898-900.

From

The Dy Commissioner

To Mysore District

The Secretary to the

Govt [illegible] of Mysore.

Dated 4th Muzrai Deptt.

Despatched 5 July 1899

Received

Enclosures—No /

General No. 2
No.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Dt. 6.7.99
REC No 3
MUZRAI BRANCH

Sanction
Dr
as
6/7/99

I has the honor to forward herewith for Sanction a bill for Rs 190-0-0 payable as Compensation to the owners of the lands which were taken up for the bed of the Ummattoor tank in the Chamraj nagar Taluk. The village of Ummattoor

To the Same no 381-9 d/10/7/99.

Kemp on more village attached to the Sea
Township more Extensive Complete, the Charges
be met from the funds available at the Close
of that contribution which have been Col-
lected by the Treasury Officers at the Fair
of the Sale

[illegible]
Tax by Commissioners
[illegible]

Read:—

Docket No 2 dated 4th July 1899 from the Dy Collr, Mysore District, submitting for sanction a bill for Rs 190-0-0 being the amount of Compensation payable to the owners of the lands taken up for the improvement of the bed of the drain connecting Tank belonging to the Sri Chamarajeswaraswami temples at Chamarajnagar.

No 38-J dt 10-7-99
Muz 730-94

The bill for Rs 190-0-0 is sanctioned and forwarded to the Comptr for audit, the charge being met from Savings of Rs 22423-10-5 available at the credit of the Sri Chamarajeswara Swamie temple as per Treasury officer's certificate expressed on the bill.

To,
The Dy Collr, Mysore Dt.
The Comptr & Govt
With the sanctioned bill.

[signature]
Asst Secretary

Note by the Mysore Secy

Letter no 21 Dy 15th May 1899, from the Chief Engineer of Mysore, submitting for sanction a project amounting to Rs 14,307 for reconstructing the damaged weir of the Domattur Drain Tank belonging to the Sri Chamarajeeswara Swami temple at Chamarajnager.

An estimate for Rs 16,794 to repair this tank was first sanctioned in 1891 from the funds of

the temple. Again a revised estimate for Rs 21,454 was sanctioned in 1895 and all the repairs were completed in 1897 at an actual cost of Rs 20,800.

Then in 1897 an estimate for Rs 2025 for turfing the slopes and gravelling the top of the tank bund was received and this too was sanctioned as also a supplemental estimate therefor in 1898 for Rs 284, the total cost of all the works thus amounting to Rs 23,117.

Now the chief

Engineer reports that tank weir breached in November last and submits a fresh project amounting to Rs 14,307 for its re-construction. In the report (herewith submitted) accompanying the project, the Executive Engineer ~~says~~ states that there is no good site for a waste weir in this tank, the soil met with becoming a soft paste in the rains and that after sinking a trial pit to a depth of 22 feet below weir level, it is found that the soil is the same even at that depth. The Dharmakarsis of the temple including Mr. Amble Amiah Pandit doubt the expediency of again repairing the tank at

such a heavy outlay as considering the nature of the soil, the cost of the repairs may not stop at the present estimated amount, but may go on increasing. But Mr. Mahadeva Sastriar, the Executive Engineer, whom I met at Mysore, a few days back, informed me that the ryots holding land under the tank are anxious to have the weir restored. The Chief Engineer's letter together with the project and other enclosures are herewith submitted for orders.

There is now a sum of Rs. 32643-4-9 at the credit of the temple.

(Signed) A. Srinivasacharlu.

21-7-99.

I am unable to decide the question without further details of the tank and of the work proposed - also the nature of the improvement carried out recently for a total cost of Rs. 23,000/- odd - the extent of the old (i.e. before recent improvement) and new water spread, the length and level of W. W. old and new - and as now proposed Also extent of irrigation and assessment thereon.

Send this to D.P.W. and on its sending me a note with the above and other details, I shall decide whether to carry out or abandon this project

(Initd). K. S.
5/9/99.

No. 253 Enc 4
Mur 730-94.
Dated 7.9.99.

To,

The Chief Engineer of
Mysore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. (Camp) 21 dated 15th May 1899, forwarding for sanction of Government in the Muzrai department a project amounting to Rs 14,307- for reconstructing the damaged weir of the tank in the Inam village of Unnattur in Chamarajnagar Taluk, I am to request your reference to the orders recorded by the Dewan on my Office Note on the subject, which is herewith forwarded in original.

2. The enclosures to

Estimate
Report
Tracing 3

Your letter under reply
are accordingly returned
herewith.

I have &c

(Hay draft) C A

Mizpah Secretary

1899.

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT

From The Chief Engineer & Secy to Govt of Mysore

To The Secy to Govt of Mysore Finance Department

Dated Bangalore, 7th November 1899

Despatched

No. 5633

Enclosure No 1 Estimate
1 Report
1 Tracing
1 Extract
4

SUBJECT.

For Mulled
for Dewan Sahib

A.A
7.11.99

If the Chief Engr agrees with the Hy Supdg Engr Nr Kan. a revised Estimate may be called for —

AM

20.1.1900

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT,

No. 5633

CENTRAL OFFICE,

Bangalore, 7th November 1899

From

The Chief Engineer of Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Irrigation Department

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. 253 dated 7th September 1899, Irrig 7 30-94 I have the honor to report for orders of Government, the project of Rs 14,307 for Reconstructing the damaged weir of the Immadi Imam Tank in Chamarajendranagar Taluk, together with an extract from Supdg. Engineer Mr Kane's letter No 4417 of 30th October 1899, furnishing the particulars called for by the Dewan in his endorsement of 5th September '99.

I have the honorable,
Sir
Your most obedt Servt

Jas Cameron Colonel
Chief Engineer of Mysore

Rcd 9th

No 788
Mung: 730-94
Dy 22nd Jan.y 1900-
Encls: 4.

To

The Chief Engineer
of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 5633 dated 7th November 1899 forwarding an Extract from the Superintending Engineer Mr. Karve's letter No 4417 of 30th October 1899 in the matter of the Re-construction of the damaged weir of the tank in the inam village of Immattur belonging to the Sri Chamarajeswara Swami temple at Chamarajnagar I am desired by the Offg Dewan to enquire if you agree with Mr. Karve

in considering that
instead of the costly
weir provided for in
the original project
for Rs 14,307-, it is sufficient
to construct a new
weir just outside
the washed away one
at a cost of Rs 7000-
and if the section described
in his letter, and if so,
to request that a revised
Estimate for that amount
may accordingly be
prepared and forwarded
to this Office for the orders
of Govt. in the Musrai
Department.

2. The Plan and
the Project for Rs 14,307-

Received with your
letter under reply
are herewith returned

I have the

honor to be

Your obedient servant

Meyer London

189[illegible]

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.

No. N32

From

The Chief Engineer of Mysore.
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to Govt
Home Dept

Dated Bangalore [illegible]

Despatched

Enclosure No [illegible]

SUBJECT.

25 - 1 - 1900
507

In reply to Secretary's letter
No. 1 dated 25-1-1900 on the subject
noted in the margin, I have the
honour to forward herewith
a copy of the letter
noted in the margin
from the Executive Engineer
Tumkur

CE
27/1/1900

CE
25-1-1900

From The Secretary
to Govt Home Dept
CE.
25-1-1900

I agree with the Chief
Engineer that no further action
is necessary in this case

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT,

CENTRAL OFFICE,

No. 432

Bangalore. 25th January ~~189~~ 1900

From

The Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to Government
Muzrai Department.

SIR,

In reply to your letter etc 788/Muz.
F. 30-94 of the 22? instant, enquiring if I
agree with Mr. Lawrie in considering that,
instead of the costly weir provided for in
the original project of Rs 14,307, for restoring
Incam Ummattur Tank, Regd: No: 27 of
Chamrajnagar Taluk, it is sufficient to
construct a new weir just outside the
away one at a cost of Rs 7,000, I have
the honor to inform you that I do not
approve of Mr. Lawrie's proposal to con-
struct a new weir at the reduced cost
of Rs 7,000, as in this design the body
would be founded on earth

is likely to give trouble in the future.
The provision of Rs 19,280 for the recon-
-struction of the washed away well,
as designed by Mr. Whiteley, was
therefore allowed to stand in the original
project for this Tank, as this will
prove the cheaper arrangement in the
long run.

II It is to be regretted that the above
was not mentioned in this office No:
5633 of 7. November 199, when resubmitting
the project.

III Enclosures are returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
L. Cameron Colonel,

1 Estimate
1 Report
1 Tracing
1 Extract
4

MUZRAI

189

From

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To

The Chief Engineer
of Mysore –

Dated 15th January 1901.
Despatched 16th
Received
General No. 776
Muzrai F. No. 30–94
Enclosure No.

Adverting to his letter
No. 432, Dy 25th January 1900,
requesting sanction to the
revised project for Rs 14,307/-
for the restoration of the
Inam Ummattur Tank
belonging to the Sri Chama-
rajeswaraswami temple
at Chamarajnagar, has
the honor to state that,
as the cost of the work
has to be met from the
surplus funds of the
temple, the Comptroller

to Govt has been asked to
intimate to this Office the
exact amount of Surplus
funds now available at the
credit of the Employees after
thoroughly verifying the
District accounts with the
Books of his Office. The
matter will be disposed of
on receipt of the Comptroller's
reply.

By order,

Asst. Secy

1901
~~189~~



From The Deputy Commissioner Mysore District

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore, Muzrai Department.

Dated 2nd March 1900.

Despatched 3

Received

General No. 821
No.

Enclosure No. Once

Submitted to the Dewan for perusal

2-4/1900

Has the honor to submit for information the accompanying extract from the memo of inspection by the Divisional Commissioner during the fortnight ending 31st January 1900, regarding the condition of the Ummattoor Tank in the Channarayapatna Taluk, and to state that the Amildar has been directed not to take charge of the tank till it is put in thorough order.

for Deputy Commissioner

Extract from the memo of inspection by the First Assistant Commissioner, Mysore District, during the fortnight ending 31st January 1900.

× × ×

Inspected the very big tank the bund of which from one end to the other is nearly 2 miles. The tank, I am told, was improved 2 years ago at a cost of Rs. 22,000/- and last year the waste weir breached. I went over the bund in which there are cracks or fissures very deep and as wide as a span in some places.

The Revenue Department has been asked to take charge of the Department. In course of time the stone facing of the bank has collapsed.

The 2 sluices are not right as the thickness that through sluggard, water escapes in the sluices.

In the present condition of the tank, it is advisable for the Revenue Department to take charge of it.

× × ×

Dr. A. Ananda Rao,
First Assistant Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Extract.

[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Compare.

Minute.

Read :—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 432 dated 25th January 1900, from the Chief Engineer of Mysore requesting sanction to the revised project for Rs 14,307/- for the restoration of the Inam Unnamattur Tank belonging to the Sri Chamarajeswaraswami temple at Chamarajnagar.

Order thereon.

No. 317-9 Dy 26th August 1901
Inm. F 30-94

The Unnamattur Tank referred to in the letter read above is an Inam tank and the Inam belongs to the Sri Chamarajeswaraswami temple at Chamarajnagar.

It behoves the Govt. who are the trustees of this temple to exercise more than ordinary care in spending its funds. The tank is a large one and the lands below it are also valuable being black cotton soil mostly. The Govt. do not think that the Raiyats & land-holders are favorable to the abandoning of this source of irrigation altogether. On the other hand to spend money again after what has been spent already without retaining the benefit thereof, is looked upon by all those that have any interest in the

temple with diffidence and distrust. The object of the foregoing remarks ~~of Govt in Carrying &c much~~ is to point out that the action of the local Engineering Officers has not inspired the people with that confidence which it ought to have done. The question about the position & the cost of Weir has again become a matter of difference of opinion between the Superintending Engr & the Chief Engr. The inclination of Govt is certainly to follow the advice of the Chief Engr, and as it is deemed important to maintain this large tank

the expenditure of Rs 14, —
~~will be~~ is

But

in View of the special circs of the case, the Govt suggest the desirability of the Chief Engr once inspecting the work and forming his opinion regarding its suitability ~~of the work~~ before it is put into execution.

It was once said that even at a depth of 22 feet there was no good hard soil for foundation.

the charge being debited to the S of Rs 31,588-7-9 as at the credit Sri Chamaraj temple on 30th 1900, as the Comptr is arrange for the transfer of this amount to the

Dewans orders

26/8/01

Dy Secretary

To The Chief Engr of Mysore, with the Plan
sanctd Estimate & other enclos
" Dy Commr, Mysore Dist for info
" Comptr Mysore — with a

Reminder

189 .

From

The ~~Munsif~~ Dy: Secretary to the Govt
~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.

To

The Chief Engineer
& Mysore

Dated 6 4 February 1902

Despatched 7 4

Received

Enclosures No.

General No 1185

~~Munz~~ M. No. Munz P. 30-94

Govt Pro: ~~This Office~~ No. 317-9 dated 26

August ~~18~~ 1901 Munz P. 30-94

Sanctioning the revised project for Rs 14,307/- for the restoration of the Inam Ummattur Tank belonging to the Sri Chamarajeswara Swami at Chamarajnagar

and ~~subsequent reminder~~ ~~marginally noted~~

invite

Has the honor to ~~draw~~ attention to the above and to request ~~an early reply~~ the favour a report as to ~~in what stage~~ the ~~last~~ what action has been taken in the ~~said~~ matter

~~Hasan~~.

"By Order"

~~Munsif~~ Secretary.

4/2/02

Dy.

7, 30-94

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

Bangalore 26 · 9 · 0~~2~~

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

THE attention of the Chief Engr in Mysore is drawn to Subject No. 235 of the Dasara Representative Assembly Proceedings of Oct 1907 and he is requested to expedite the submission of the report called for in Govt Lr no 317-9 dated 30-94 26th August 1907, and the subsequent Reminder No 1185 dated 6th Feb 1902.

By Order,

25/9/07

Muzrai Secretary.

Dft

SUBJECT NO.

235 Reports to the Unmatturu Tank

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

1899-900

From

The Dy Commrs.

To Mysore Dis

The Secretary to the

Govt of Mysore.

Dated Muzrai Departt

Despatched 5 September/99

Received

Enclosures—No one

General No 38
No

I has the honor to state that certain lands were acquired for the extension of the tank at Unnallur, an inam village attached to the Sri Chamrajeswara Swamy temple, in the Chamraj-nagar Taluk, and

Please put up the Govt Ors.

cited in the Docket

RS
4/7/07

Compensation sanctioned in G

Proceedings No. 38-9 / 30-44 dated 10th July 1899 has

been paid to the parties from whom

those lands were acquired.

2. An alienation Statement shewing

the particulars of the lands acquired

is herewith submitted for the assessments

thereon being ordered to be written off the

accounts.

For Dy Commissioner

Mysore District.

Memorandum

Read:—

Govt. Pro: No. 38-9
Mur 730-94
dt 10th July 1899, sanctioning a bill for Rs 190/- on account of compensation payable to the owners of the lands taken up for the improvement of the bed of the Hanumanthur tank belonging to the Sri Chamarajeswaraswami temple at Chamarajnagar.

And;

Docket No. 38 dt 5th September 1899, from the Dy Commr., Mysore

District, submitting
an alienation statement
shewing the particulars
of the lands taken up
for the bed of the tank
in lieu to the assessment
on the lands being
written off the accounts.

Thereon.

R.O. 1400-1 Encl 141 dy 20/12/02
Mun: F 30-94

The statement
submitted by the Dy
Commr. is recorded
with the remark that
the assessment on the
lands particularized
therein may be written off
the accounts.

15/12/02
Dy Secretary
Munzrai Depart.

To, The Dy Commr & Mysore Dist
& Superintt: Rev: Survey
with a copy of the

Regd No. 853

1903.

FROM The Chief Inquirer at Bagdad.

To The Secretary Foreign Office Great Britain Persian Affairs

Dated 8th April 1903.

Despatched

Received

General No. 2005.

No.

Enclosure No. 1

Submitted for information

Sec 1/5/03

Secretary's further report 1/5/03



Mysore Public Works Department,
Central Office,
Dated Bangalore 22nd January 19..

From,

W. C. Hutchin Esquire, A.M.I.C.E.,
Chief Engineer of Mysore.

To,

L. Ananthasawmy Rao Esquire, B.A.,
Deputy Secretary to Government of Mysore
in the
Revenue and Agriculture Department.

Sir,

In continuation of this Office No.2005 of 4th April last, I have the honor to forward for orders of Government the accompanying plan and estimate amounting to Rs.17,745 including Establishment and Tools and Plant charges for restoring the Tank Oomathur Tank, Belur Taluk. Vide para 27 of the Engineer's Report, I beg to observe that the point which strikes me most in that the proposed Head works and channel and training works are certain to go to ruin in a very few years. The ryots of Oomathur will never see to their necessary upkeep nor to the proper regulation of the supply to the feeder, and I foresee that in a few years, the money (Rs.18,000) spent on these Head works may be all thrown away.

2. It is no where stated to whom whether to Government or to the Oomathur Inamdars the valuable cocoanut gardens and lands at the Dasarur village, alluded to in the report and in Mr. Nagarkar's Inspection Note, belong. Some of the works proposed are designed to serve as a protection to these gardens and are not a legitimate charge against Oomathur tank itself.

3. I am still of opinion that it would be better in the end to adhere to the new extension of the bund with an extensive weir in suitable design than to go in for all the complicated accessories and feeder proposed, unless there is someone placed in charge to look after the works.

4. If the Inamdars guarrantee to look after the Head works and

and the regulation of the supply, the project may be sanctioned as the best scheme to adopt under the circumstances.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

Enc. 1 Report
1 Estimate
1 Index
4 Drawings

M. Visvesvaraya

Chief Engineer of Mysore.

[illegible] and [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] proposed

[illegible]

[illegible] of 35 units

[illegible] in the extension.

[illegible]

[illegible] in the new war was washed

HAND SKETCH


FEEDER (CATCHMENT 8 SQ. MILES)
Dam
FEEDER (CATCHMENT 4 SQ. MILES)
EXTENSION
OLD WEIR
DASANUR
GARDEN
(Old course of valley)
SITE FOR LIFTING DAM
CHANNEL TO BE CUT
UMATTUR TANK
TANK BUND
OLD WEIR
SITE OF NEW WEIR
BUND
BREACH

No 3058 Dt
Inward 30-9-4
7-4-04

Urgent
Please submit a proper
draft on these lines.
hrs
2-6-04

Dewan's orders

To the Chief Engineer

Sir

With reference to
Your letter No 4578 of the 22nd
January 1904 forwarding
forwarding an estimate
for Rs 17745 for restoring
the Swami Vamathur
Tank, I am directed
to inform You that the
tank although reported
as being in a
bund shall is under the
management of the Amildar
of Chamrajnagar along
with the village, the revenues
of which belong to the
temple at Chamrajnagar.
The tank is therefore
entitled to the same
care and consideration
on the part of the both

the Revenue and ~~D~~ Public Works departments as tanks in Govt villages.

In these circumstances I am to request that you will be good enough to send up for the orders of Govt, an estimate ~~to~~ providing for the proper safety of the tank in the future without its being left to the ~~six~~ mamdars or the villagers to look to its upkeep, ~~possibly leaving to the~~

I am also ~~requested~~ ~~to~~ directed to request you to institute inquiries as to whom the gardens in Dasanur village belong and whether any work should be undertaken on their account in connection with the improvement of the Umnathoor tank.

~~Yours~~ I have ty
Sir,
2 . 4 . '04

No 3058 &
3059 D/7-4-04

To,

The Asst Engineer

of Mo, 2nd

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 458 of the 22nd January '04 forwarding an estimate for Rs 17,745, for restoring the Irrn Oommatoor Tank, I am directed to inform you that the Tank although regarded as Irrn, still is under the management of the Amildar of Chamarajnagar along

being left to the Iramda or the villagers to look to its up-keep

I am also directed to request you to institute enquiries as to whom the gardens in Dasamur village belong & whether any work should be undertaken on their account in connection with the improvement of the Oommatthur Tank

I have &c,

Draft

Hs
5. 4. 04
Hg. Secretary,
Mugrai Departt.

Copy to Dy. Commr
Hs.



190 .

THE CHIEF ENGINEER OF MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

To

off

Rec'd
29/6/04

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No. 2

1 Estimate.
1 Report
1 Statement
1 Rw Survey Drawing
4 Plans
1 Tracing.
1 Copy of 1st E. Supply Estg
Ch. 24158 of 16-6-04

11

ysor Public Works Department,

Central Office,

.3699 Bangalore 28th June 1904

l,

. Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

Officiating Secretary to Govt.

),

. Secretary,

Revenue Department.

. Chief Engineer of Mysore,

Irrigation Department.

,

S

were submitted under G.O.No.59/Z dated 7th July 1904, and sanction was accorded for the works of improvement which were provided for the proper safety of the Gondalur tank and the drainage channel, and on receipt of the enquiries as to whether the owners of the Basavanahalli village below the tank have been informed and if the project would involve Rs.16,059 expenditure, as contemplated in the Executive Engineer's D.O.No.415 of 1904 dated, (copy enclosed) and whether the proposals would be sufficient to obtain the fullest benefit of the improvements thereto. The information called for in the above letter from the Basavanahalli village is also furnished by the Executive Engineer in his covering docket referred to above.

2. Under the Executive Engineer's proposals are the supply under the circumstances, but the feeder will soon become useless, and therefore, the cost will thus be all wasted, unless the tank and feeder channel are properly maintained by the ryots. The feeder channels have to be maintained by the ryots under present rules and not by Government in the P.W.D.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[signature]

Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

G O. No 56-A
Ms I 30-94
Dated 5 - 11 - 94

Read :-

Govt. Proc. No. 757-9 Mug Ft 30-94

and 691-2 Mug Ft 30-94 dated

28th February 1895 and

13th December 1897 respecting

sanctioning Estimates

amounting to Rs 2145 4

and Rs 2025 for the

restoration of the

Coimbatore Tank in the

Chamarajanagar Taluk,

belonging to the late

Chamarajanagar

Temple at Chamarajanagar.

(ii) Govt. Proc. No 317-9 Mug Ft 30-94

dated 26th August 1901

again sanctioning with certain

observations an expenditure

of 307 for the

re-construction of the tank.

And (iii) Correspondence

ending with letter No 3699

dated 28th June 1904 from

the Chief Engineer & Secretary

requesting sanction to a

supplemental project amounting

to Rs 16059 for restoring

the tank in question.

~ ~ ~

Order. – No: 562-4 Encls × & 3/8/04.
Rev: 7: no. 30-96

This tank was

repaired at a heavy

outlay of more than

Rs 23,000 in 1897, but-

unfortunately it breached

in November 1898, about-

a year after the repairs

were completed, and

ever since, the ryots

× 1. Estimate
1. Report.
1. Mechanika.
1. Rec. Survey & Tracing
4. Plans
2. Tracings.
10

holding lands under the tank have been anxious for its restoration. In 1901 an expenditure of Rs 14307 for the restoration of the tank was again sanctioned, but before executing the work, the Chief Engineer was requested to inspect it and form his opinion regarding its suitability. The tank was inspected by Mr Hargreaves in August 1902 by Mr Hargreaves, Supdg Engr Western Circle, who submitted a revised Estimate for Rs 16,059. The Chief Engineer, has forwarded the Plan and the Estimate for the

(4)

the situation of Govt.,

and has
started work in the Grounds

~~equivalent to [illegible]~~

~~two [illegible] works~~

~~the reclamation of the Swamp~~

~~the project may be sanctioned.~~

~~He also [illegible] work~~ under

under
the rules of the P. W. D.

the ancient and feeder

Channels have to be

maintained by the Municipal

and Govt. the owners

of the gardens situated

above the tank, have

executed a protective

Shutting Govt. they

have no objection to

the improvements to the

tanks being carried out.

In these circumstances,

the Govt. are pleased

to

to sanction the modified
project amounting to
Rs 16,059, which ~~amounts to~~
has been prepared with
much care and scrutiny after ground-
The charge will be
debited to the savings
of Rs 53,563-13-7 reported to the
at the credit of the
Sri Chamundeswari
-swami temple at-
Chamundipuram, on
the 30th April 1904. The
Comptroller to Govt. is
requested to arrange
for the remittance
of the sanctioned
amount to the P. W. D.

2. The Estimate sanctioned
in the Govt. order of the

26th

August 1901 ~~[illegible]~~

is hereby cancelled.

The Dy Comr of the respective District is requested to take needful steps to call upon them ~~[illegible]~~ to limit themselves to properly maintain the Nikal and feeder Channels under the existing Tank maintenance Rules.-

4. The ryots are, under the standing rules, entitled to a remission of half of the full wet assessment till the tank is restored and becomes available for irrigation. The Dy Comr is requested to submit the annual remission

statement-

3. As a safeguard against any future damage on account of neglect on the part of the ryots of the village —

(7,

Statement for Auditor

14 Oct 04)
Dft.

1/8/04

Auditing
Insp. Gen. Dept.

1/8/04

To

The Chief Engr. with
the auditor's Statement,
Plans & other Papers

The Comptroller has
found with a copy
of the Statement

The Dy Comr., Inspection

MUZRAI

1904 —1905

FROM The Secretary to the Govt of H H the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai department.

To The Chief Engineer of Mysore

Dated 21th November 1904

Despatched

Received

General No. 1814
Muzrai F. No. 30-94

Enclosure 1

Sir,

In continuation of Govt Pro: N° 562-4 dated 3rd August 1904 Muzrai F 30-94 sanctioning a modified project for Rs 16,059 for the improvement of the Ummathur tank in the Channarayapatna Taluk, I am directed to forward herewith petition Reg^d N° 1700 dated 28th October 1904 from Basvegauda and other ryots of Ummathur praying to suspend the proposed improvements for the alleged reasons therein contained. I am to enquire whether the circumstances of these petitioners were considered before

MGGPB—2,000—9-6-02

from the new Estimate of sum chosen
the Proc: cited above was framed

I have etc

dfl 21/11/04
Secretary
Mines Dept.

No: 1815
dl Inspectors

19/11/04

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, ESQ., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Chief Engr. of
Mysore

Dated 15th Jany 1905

Despatched

Received

General No. 25-88
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. one

In contn of Govt Pro:
No 562-4 Muz: 7.30-94 dy 3rd August 1904
sanctioning a modified project
for Rs 16,059 for the repairs of
the Inam Ummathur tank
in the Chamarajnagar Taluk,
has the honor to forward herewith
for favour of disposal
petn Regd No 2467 dy 31st Dec 1904
from Alasinga Chari & certain other
raiyats of Ummathur village

M.G.O.P.B.—1,000—5-10-04.

~~[illegible]~~ bringing to notice that the Executive Engineer who was at Nimach some time back in connection with the carrying out of the proposed improvements, did not heed to the representations made by the petrs in the matter.

Reg. Orde

18/1/05

Le cy
Mess. Defitt

No 2589
'05 - 1-1-05

Mod to the fees

18/1

Mysore Public Works Department

No. 887

Central Office,

Dated Bangalore 6th February 1905

2957

From,

W.Mc Hutchin Esquire,M.I.C.E.,

Chief Engineer of Mysore.

To,

L.Anantasami Row Esquire,B.A.,

Secretary to the Government

of H.H.the Maharajah of Mysore,

Muzrai Department .

Sir,

Adverting to your No.1813 of 24th November last,forwarding petition from Basavegowda and other ryots of Ummathur complaining against the proposed diversion of the feeder channel to their village tank and calling for a report on the allegations therein contained &c;I have the honor to forward copy of Mysore Division, Executive Engineer's letter No.62 of the 16th ultimo(with the tracing) reporting that there is no truth in the allegations made and to point out that since the restoration of the Ummathur Tank in 1896-97,the maintenance work by the ryots has been so much neglected that it would take about Rs.5,800 (as per detailed estimate attached) to bring the bund up to its original formation.I therefore request that you will be good enough to obtain the early orders of Government as to whether this work should be done by ryots or by the P.W.Department;as if the bund is allowed to deteriorate further,it will certainly breach and the large sums of money spent on this tank will be wasted.

11.The tracing may be obligingly returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

W. McHutchin

Enc: 3
1. Tracing
1. Estimate
1. Letter copy

Letter No. 62 dated 16th January 1905 from the Executive Engineer Mysore Division to the Superintending Engineer Western Circle

With reference to your No. 5104 of 3rd Nov. 1902, forwarding for report copy of letter No. 1813 of 20th October 1902, regarding the complaint of ryots of Vennathur, Chamarajnagar Taluk, I have the honor to report that I inspected the vennathur tank, Registered No. 270 the 12th ultimo, a sketch plan showing the position of the tank, as it stood prior to restoration, the improvements already carried out and the works now sanctioned to be done, is herewith submitted for your kind perusal.

2. It will be seen from the sketch that, when the tank was improved or restored in 1896-97, the old sluices, one at the left flank and one at the right flank, were blocked up and bund extended across the big stream at right end to improve the supply by adding the whole drainage area of nearly 8

Ignore

Refer notes on the new weir 210 feet long constructed in 1911 over the weir that breached in 14. 11 98 owing to the soil being quite soft and unreliable.

The depth of the second breach is 23½ feet from the bed round and 16 feet from the masonry body wall. The ground at breach was tested by excavating a trial pit and driving in a crowbar and no hard ground was met with for a depth of 10 feet. The ryots wish that the weir should be restored, but that the pits be quite unwalled

3. The tank prior to restoration, used to get a scanty supply from the feeder drawn from the big stream, without any regulator and in consequence the valuable cocoanut gardens, situated on both sides of the feeder were being inundated, and damaged seriously by the deposit of sand during floods.

4. The proposal recently sanctioned is for construction in masonry with a regulator across the big stream at E marked in the sketch and opening into a feeder thereon to the tank including the reconstruction of the old weir and forming up a training bank above the line F E to prevent the valuable cocoanut gardens

4. Nawanna village will be inundated if the proposed raised road is constructed in accordance with the instructions of the Inhambane District Engineer after inspection of the site

5. There will be no reduction in the capacity of the tank and the proposed road mentioned are in the undersigned's humble opinion, as far as can be ascertained taking into consideration the site and soil.

6. It will thus be seen that it is not possible to provide the breaches now sought for by the ryots and that the supply to the tank is in no way diminished as alleged by them. The shareholders are presumably those that own land where the proposed feeder channel has to be excavated and those near the left weir and there is no truth in their statements

7. The enclosures received with the district under reply, are returned herewith.

8. In this connection, I beg to bring to your kind notice that the ryots have done nothing in the way of maintaining the bund, since it was restored in 1896-97 & the whole of the bund has badly settled and does

Leaves gone out in the foreshore. The parliament also
settled hardly in several grades but it may be allowed
that land is sold at heavy prices to persons who
in the way of trading with cast of earth-work and laying
it in mud to form the bound to its original standard, will
be the only person who has furnished with the accompanying
plan in which harbour proposed by the Assistant
Engineer in exchange of the Vanguard Range and gives
for a certain improvement

"Plans copy"

Mr Traill Comptroller N.S.

(2) Possibly Chief Engineer's Office.

Reference
to Mr. Roberts's
[illegible]

MUZRAI

19 —19

FROM

To The Chief Engineer & Mysore

Dated 18th February 1905

Despatched

Received

General No. 3027
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure

His No. 887 dy 6th Febry 1905 submitting for sanction & Govt an Estimate for Rs 380/- for repairing the bund of the Oddamattee tank-

"

Has the honble to request that the petitions sent to her for report under cover of this office dockets nos 1814 & 2588 dy 24th November 1904 & 27 January 1905 respectively, may be kindly returned to this office at an early date as the question cannot be disposed of without them.

By order

14

MGCPB—2,000—9-6-02

2467

31/12/74

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೃತರ ಹೆಂಡತಿಯವರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಆರೋಪಗಳು ಸತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ದೂರವಾಗಿದ್ದು ಅವರು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮೃತರು ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಹೆಂಡತಿಯವರ ನಡುವೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಜಗಳ ಆಗಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಮೃತರು ಅವರ ಹೆಂಡತಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಹೊಡೆದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಹೆಂಡತಿಯವರು ಮೃತರಿಗೆ ಹೊಡೆದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಮೃತರು ಅವರ ಹೆಂಡತಿಯವ

ಸ್ವಸ್ತಿಶ್ರೀ ಸಾಂಗ ಗಣೇಶ ಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳ
ವರು ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರಾಜಾಸ್ಥಾನೇಶ್ವರ ದಲ್ಲಿ
ರವರು ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜೇಂದ್ರ ಒಡೆಯರವರ ಸಾನ
ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನಗಳಿಗೆ

ಶ್ರೀ ಚಾಮರಾಜನಗರದ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಉಮ್ಮತ್ತೂರು ಗ್ರಾ. ವ. ದ ತರೀಜಮೀನ್ ಸಾಗುವಳಿದಾರ್ರು ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ರುಜು ಹಾಕಿದ್ದೇವರು ಬರದು ಕೊಂಡ ಅರ್ಜಿ

ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ತಲಾಂಪು ಬಡಳ ನಿಕ ವಿಸ್ತಾರಿ ಇದ್ದ ಪ್ರಯುಕ್ತ ಹಾಲಿ ತರೀಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ನೀರು ಸಿಕ್ಕಿ ಆಗದೆ ಬೆಳೆ ನಷ್ಟವಾಗುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದ ಕಾರಣ ಗ್ರಾಮಸ್ಥರು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಬರದು ಕೊಂಡ ಮೇಲೆ ಅಜಮಾಯಿಷಿ ಯಿಂದ ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತು ಚಿಲ್ರೆ ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು ಎಸ್ಟಿಮೇಟ್ ಮಾಡಿ ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಪಾರ್ಶ್ವಕ್ಕೆ ಕೆರೆ ಏರಿ ಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆರೆ ಆ ಬಾಂದು ಮಾಡಿ ಪಿಕಪ್ ನಾಲೆ ತೋಡಿ ಕಾಲುವೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಬಿಟ್ಟ ಬಳಿಕ ಆದರಿಂದ ಕೆರೆ ಕಾಲುವೆ ಮಾರ್ಗವಾಗಿ ನೀರು ಬರದೆ ಈಗ ಸುಮಾರು 6 – 7 ವರ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ತೋಟಿಕಟ್ಟೆ ಕಾಲುವೆ ನೀರು ಸಿಲ್ಕಿ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ ಆಗದೆ ಇದ್ದ ಕಾರಣ ಗ್ರಾಮಸ್ಥರು ಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದು ಇರುತ್ತೇವೆ

ಈಗ ಕಟ್ಟಿ ಗಾರು ಪಾವತಿ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಕಾಲುವೆ ಮಾಡುವಂತೆ ಯಾಮಾಮೂಲಾಗಿ ನೀರು ಬರುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದ ಪ್ರದೇಶ ವಗೈರೆ ತಪ್ಪಿಸಿ ಬೇರೆ ನಾಲೆ ಕಾಲುವೆ ಮಾಡುವಂತೆಯೂ ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಪಾರ್ಶ್ವಕ್ಕೆ ಬರುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದ ಕೆರೆ ಯನ್ನು ತಪ್ಪಿಸಿ ಬಿಡುವಂತೆಯೂ – ಅಂದಾಜು ಆಗಿ ಇರುವದಾಗಿ ಗ್ರಾಮಸ್ಥರು ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಜಮೀನ್ ಅಜಮಾಯಿಷಿ ಸ್ಪೆಷಲ್ ಡಿಪುಟಿ ಸೂಪರಿಂಟೆಂಡೆಂಟ್ ಅಜಮಾಯಿಷಿಯಾಗಿ ಇರುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದ ಸಂಗತಿ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಪಾದ ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಸ್ಯಂನಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಬಾಬ್ತು ಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಮಾವಂದವರು ಆಲೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡುವದಾಗಿ 4 · 11 · 904ನೇ ಮಸ್ಯಂನಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರೈವೇಟ್ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರಿಂದಾ ಹುಕುಂ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಯಾಗಿ ಯಿರುತ್ತೆ

ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಹುಜೂರು ಡಿವಿಜನ್ ಯಕ್ಸಿಕ್ಯೂಟೀವ್ ಇಂಜಿನೀರು ಸಾಹೇಬರವರ ಅಪೀಲಿಗೆ 2 – 6 – 905 ...

The request of Sunnakuttan has village Sabha
Mail of the water Drinking Program village is closed -
No Sufficient water wile Supply/Bore Thummakuttan -

Submitted
for kind
perusal
please

2 NOV 1994

Chief

3153.

Reps

23/2/05

1905.

From

THE CHIEF ENGINEER of MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

To

The Secretary to Government
Engrai Department.

Dated 22nd February 1905.

Despatched

Received

General No. 1204
No.

Enclosure No. 2 petitions as per Nos: 1813 and 2588.

In compliance with his No: 3027 dt- 18th instant, have the honor to return herewith the petitions received with his Nos: 1813 and 2588 dt- 24th November 04 and 21st January 05, respectively, complaining against the proposed diversion of the Cedar Channel to the Dommatter tank &c.

W. Faith Captain RE.
Deputy Chief Engineer.
of Mysore.

453

1/2

ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ.

೧. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಂಪ್ರದಾಯಿಕ ಮತ್ತು ಆಧುನಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳನ್ನು ವಿವರಿಸಿ.

೨. ಸಾಂಪ್ರದಾಯಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳ ಅನುಕೂಲಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ.

೩. ಆಧುನಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳ ಅನುಕೂಲಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ.

೪. ಸಾಂಪ್ರದಾಯಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳ ಅನಾನುಕೂಲಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ.

೫. ಆಧುನಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳ ಅನಾನುಕೂಲಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ.

೬. ಸಾಂಪ್ರದಾಯಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಂದ ಆಧುನಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಯಾಗಲು ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ.

೭. ಸಾಂಪ್ರದಾಯಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಂದ ಆಧುನಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಯಾಗಲು ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ.

೮. ಸಾಂಪ್ರದಾಯಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಂದ ಆಧುನಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಯಾಗಲು ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ.

೯. ಸಾಂಪ್ರದಾಯಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಂದ ಆಧುನಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಯಾಗಲು ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ.

೧೦. ಸಾಂಪ್ರದಾಯಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಂದ ಆಧುನಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಯಾಗಲು ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ.

೧೧. ಸಾಂಪ್ರದಾಯಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಂದ ಆಧುನಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಯಾಗಲು ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ.

೧೨. ಸಾಂಪ್ರದಾಯಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಂದ ಆಧುನಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಯಾಗಲು ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ.

೧೩. ಸಾಂಪ್ರದಾಯಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಂದ ಆಧುನಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಯಾಗಲು ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ.

೧೪. ಸಾಂಪ್ರದಾಯಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಂದ ಆಧುನಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಯಾಗಲು ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ.

೧೫. ಸಾಂಪ್ರದಾಯಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಂದ ಆಧುನಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಯಾಗಲು ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ.

೧೬. ಸಾಂಪ್ರದಾಯಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಂದ ಆಧುನಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಯಾಗಲು ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ.

೧೭. ಸಾಂಪ್ರದಾಯಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಂದ ಆಧುನಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಯಾಗಲು ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ.

೧೮. ಸಾಂಪ್ರದಾಯಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಂದ ಆಧುನಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಯಾಗಲು ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ.

೧೯. ಸಾಂಪ್ರದಾಯಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಂದ ಆಧುನಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಯಾಗಲು ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ.

೨೦. ಸಾಂಪ್ರದಾಯಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಂದ ಆಧುನಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಯಾಗಲು ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ.

28 — 10 — 1904

Murray

Read:— Govt. Pro: no 562-4 d/ 3rd August 1904 sanctioning the project for Rs 16059/- for repairing the Inam Ammattu tank belonging to the Sri Chamarajeswaraswami temple at Chamarajnagar

Petitions no 1700 d/ 28th October 1904, ~~from certain~~ ryots of the village complaining that if the tank is repaired according to the sanctioned project the supply to the tank will be diminished and that they will be in consequence put to a heavy loss.

~~Letter no 887 d/ 6th February 1905~~ from the Chief Engineer of Mysore reporting on the above

Order thereon - No

The Chief Engineer reports that ~~the~~ when the ~~tank~~ was improved or

respecting, from Basavanpura and some other ~~xxxxx~~ ryots of Ammattur complaining against the proposed diversion of the feeder channel to their village tank.

And Correspondence ending with Darkhast no 1294 dated 22nd February 1905—

Order:— 4049-57
Mar. F 30-94
Dec. 5-65
The Chief Complaint

stored in 1896-97.
weirs were blocked
up and bund ex
acr ss the big stre
ng end to impr
su e by adding
drainage area of
square and
(210 feet long)
. It was the weir
on 1/4 inc
ber
the soil
and unre
of the brea
from the to
and 16 feet
body w
at breach
trial pit
ground
a depth of 10
with th
the res
quite
. The
used to get a scanty
of the tributaries
bund to the tank is
repaired according to
the sanctioned proje
the supply to the tank
would be diminish
breached
898 owing to
the soffit
, the depth
being 23½ feet
of the bund
. the masonry
. he ground
ested by a
nd hard
me with for
. The ryots
this should
d but site is
unexcited.
anth priors
wahon
of the bund. They therefore pray
that the tank
weir be restored
instead of
on cracked with a
regulator across
ving stream
opening out a
hard
waterway to the
With reference to
above the
Engineer
that the
inspected

on the 13th January 1905,
that the restoration
of the breached weir
as proposed for by the
reports is not possible
as the site is quite
unsuited for the purpose;
that there
will be no reduction
whatever in the capacity
of the tank if works
as alleged
are carried out
according to the
sanctioned project;
and that there is
no truth in the allegations
contained made by
the petitioners. In
the circumstances
the Govt declines to
order the feeder drawn
on the big stream
without any regulator and
in consequence valuable
coconut gardens situated
on both sides the feeder
were being inundated &
damaged seriously by the
deposit of sand during
floods. The proposal
recently mooted to
construct an anicut
with a regulator across the
big stream and opening
out a feeder therefrom to the
tank including the
re-construction of the old weir
and erecting up a training
bund to protect the
valuable coconut gardens
of petitioners from
encroachment. There
would be no increase whatever
in the capacity of the tank.
It is not possible to
restore the breached weir
as prayed for by the
petitioners

Press 21, 1 a.

The Chief Complainants are presumably those that own lands where the proposed feeder Channel has to be excavated. The project was prepared after careful inspection of the site by the Superintending Engineer - that the rysts have done nothing in the way of maintaining the bund since it was restored in 1896-97; but the whole of the bund has badly settled, and deep scours formed in the rear slope; the cost of earth work and turfing required to bring the bund to its original formation will be Rs 3817 as per Estimate now submitted. The subjects orders as to whether this work should be done by or by the P. W. Department and is allowed

project recently sanctioned, which was, after due consideration, decided upon in the best interests of the ryots. The petitioners will be informed accordingly. The other points noted in the Bund Engineer's report.

to deteriorate any longer, it will continue towards. On this point the Govt observe that the damage done to the bund was subsequent to the restoration of the bunds. It cannot therefore be said that the tank breached on account of the ryots neglecting to maintain it. On the contrary it is due to hard work, and the Ryots cannot be expected to maintain the bund of a languished tank and working tanks

Under the above circumstances the petitioner will be informed that the is not possible to interfere with the project recently sanctioned which has after due consideration been decided upon in the best interests of the raiyats -

As regards the liability of the Ryots to repair & maintain the bund ~~the Govt observe that~~ ~~in doing their~~ ~~work for is a dirty work~~ ~~to impress~~. Any one concerned with this Tank work cannot escape the discredit following bad execution. The project for the present construction must also include the earthwork. The ~~Asst Engr~~ ~~Office who prepared the Estimate Project~~ cannot former of the Estimate be

Sheet No 2

acquitted of blame
for not including the
a earth work in it and
o asking for orders about
f the source of the funds required. It
e is such omissions & the
execution of badly prepared
designs that cause annoyance
to Ryots. If the work
as per estimate without any
additional earth work
a to the bund as now brought
to notice had been carried
out, the results would have
been disastrous to the bund
as the catchment and the
source of supply have been
greatly increased. The
P.W.D. officer responsible
is liable to be censured unless
he has an explanation to offer.

Devanis order

Secretary
Mysore Dept

To
The Chief Engineer, Mysore

1874/05

Comm. Mysore D.O. No. 4065 D 7/.

Endorsement No 6

Muzrai

Read:-

Govt: Pro: no 562-4 dated 3rd August 1904, sanctioning the project of Rs 16059/- for re-pairing the Iruvathur tank belonging to the Sri Thavarajeswaraswami temple at Thavarajapura

Petitions nos 1700, & 2467 dated 28th October and 25th December 1904, respectively, from Basavegowda and some other ryots of Iruvathur complaining against the proposed

freedom channel to their
village tank.

Correspondence
relating to the Docket
No 1229/1 dated 22nd
February 1905 from the Chief Engineer & Surveyor,
representing on the above-
mentioned petition
& order thereon No 4049-51 Dy 1.5.05.
Mar. D. 30-94

The chief complaint
of the petitioners is
that of the tank is
supplied according
to the directions of the
the supply to the tank
would be diminished.
They therefore pray that
the breached new weir
be restored instead
of constructing an
entirely a

regulator across the big stream and opening out a feeder therefrom to the tank. With reference to the above, the Chief Engineer reports that the Superintending Engineer ~~reports that the Superintending Engineer~~ inspected the tank on the 13th January 1905, that the restoration of the breached weir as prayed for by the ryots is not possible, as the site is quite un-suited for the purpose; that there will be no reduction whatever in the capacity of the

lack as alleged, if
works are carried out
according to the sanc-
tioned project, and
that there is no truth
in the allegations made
by the petitioners. In
these circumstances
the Government decline
to reconsider
the project recently
sanctioned, which
was after due considera-
tion, decided upon
in the best interests
of the people. The petitioners
will be informed accordingly.

The other point noted
in the Chief Engineers'
report is that the
people have done nothing
by way of maintaining

was restored in 1896-7; that the whole of the bund has badly settled and deep scours formed in the rear slope and that the cost of earth work and turfing required to bring the bund to its original for-mation, will be Rs 3817, as per estimate now submitted He solicits orders as to whether this work should be done by the ryots or by the P.W Department and states further that if the bund is allowed to deteriorate any longer, it will

(On this point the Govt
observe that the damage
done to the bund was
subsequent to the restoration
of the breach. It
cannot therefore be
said that the tank
breached on account
of the ryots neglecting
to maintain it. On
the contrary, it is due
to bad work, and the
ryots cannot be expected
to maintain the bund
of a breached tank.
Any one concerned
with this tank work
cannot escape the
discredit following
bad execution. The
project for the present
construction must
the

earth work. The framer ~~former~~ of the estimate cannot be acquitted of blame for not including the earth work in it and asking for orders about the source of the funds required. It is such omissions and the execution of badly prepared designs that cause annoyance to Royots. If the work as per estimate without any additional earth work to the bund as now brought to notice had been carried out, the result would have been disastrous to the bund as the

and

the source of supply
have been greatly
increased. The P.W.D.
Officer responsible
is liable to be censured
unless he has an
explanation to offer.

Draw as over

Secretary

To,
The Chief Engr Mysore
" " Dy Comrs Mysore Dh
" " Secretary, Agrl & Rev
Departts

No. 4065-071-5-05.
Enclosures

Proceedings of the Government of H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore.

M U Z R A I.

Read:-

Government Proceedings No. 562-4 dated 3rd August 1904 sanctioning the project for Rs, 10050/- for repairing the Inam Ummattur tank belonging to the Sri Chamarajeswaraswami temple at Chamarajnagar.

Petitions No. 1700 and 2107 dated 28th October 1904 and 28th December 1904 respectively, from Basave Gowda and some other ryots of Ummattur complaining against the proposed diversion of the feeder channel to their village tank.

And,

Correspondence ending with Secret No. 1271 dated 22nd February 1905 from the Chief Engineer of Mysore reporting on the above.

Order thereon No. 4049-57 Secret Register, dated 1st May 1905.
Muz.F30-94

The chief complaint of the petitioners is that if the tank is repaired according to the sanctioned project the supply to the tank would be diminished. They therefore pray that the breached new weir be restored instead of constructing an escape & the regulator proposed in the main stream and opening out a feeder therefrom to the tank. With reference to the above the Chief Engineer reports that the Superintending Engineer who inspected the tank on the 17th January 1905 that the restoration of the breached weir as prayed for by the ryots is not possible as the site is quite unsuited for the purpose; that there will be no reduction whatever in the capacity of the tank as alleged if works are carried out according to the sanctioned project; and that there is no truth in the allegations made by the petitioners. In these circumstances the Government decline to reconsider the project recently sanctioned, which was, after due consideration, decided upon in the best interests of the Raiyats. The petitioners will be informed accordingly.

The other point noted in the Chief Engineer's report

is that the ryots have done nothing in the way of maintaining the bund since it was restored in 1896-97; that the whole of the bund has badly settled and deep scours formed in the rear slope and that the cost of earth work and turfing required to bring the bund to its original formation will be Rs. 3816 as per estimate now submitted. He solicits orders as to whether this work should be done by the ryots or by the Public Works Department and states further that if the bund is allowed to deteriorate any longer, it will certainly breach. On this point the Government observe that the damage done to the bund was subsequent to the restoration of the breach. It cannot therefore be said that the tank breached on account of the ryots neglecting to maintain it. On the contrary it is due to bad work and the ryots cannot be expected to maintain the bund of a breached tank. Any one concerned with this tank work cannot escape the discredit following bad execution. The project for the present construction must also include the earth-work. The framer of the estimate cannot be acquitted of blame for not including the earth-work in it and asking for orders about the source of the funds required It is such omissions and the execution of badly prepared designs that cause annoyance to Raiyats. If the work as per estimate without any additional Earth work to the bund as now brought to notice had been carried out, the result would have been disastrous to the bund as the catchment and the source of supply have been greatly increas-
The P. W. D. Officer responsible is liable to be censured unless he has an explanation to offer. The File on this subject will be forwarded to the Chief Engineer for needful action and return.

Joint draft Sec
Muzrai De

Dewan's order)

To.

The Chief Engineer, Mysore.
" " Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.
" " Secretary to the Government of H.H.the Maharaja of M
and Revo

సర్వే నెం. 4065
మున్సి. F 30-94
తేది. 1.5.05

By order

28/4/05

Secretary to Govt.

Madurai Departs

27/4/05

From

To The Chief Engineer
B Mysore

Dated 7th July 1905

Despatched

Received

General No. 56
No.

Enclosure No.

Has the honor to request the early return of Inward file No 30 94 Repairs to Irwin Commatter Tanks, forwarded to him for reference with Govt Proc: No. 4049-51 dt 1st May 1905

2. The file is required to dispose of ~~the reference made by him~~ his own submitting for sanction a suppl Estimate for Rs. 1686 for the repairs of the tank.

No 1309 dated 29th June 1905.

By order
Lt
7/7/05

Ist Dft
Secret



1905.

FROM

THE CHIEF ENGINEER OF MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore
Mazrai Department.

Dated Bangalore 11th July 1905

Despatched

Received

General No 4357
No.

Enclosure No. 1 & 2

Returning Mulg. File no:
30-94, (Repair to Imam
Ramathur Tank) received
with Government Proceedings
No: 4049-51 dated 1st May '05

No 4551
Enclosure file

Mysore Public Works Department
Central office,
Bangalore dated 11th July 1905

From,

W. M. Hutchin Esquire M I C E,
Chief Engineer of Mysore

To,

L. Anantasamier Esquire B A,
Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore
Muzrai Department.

In compliance with his no: 56/Muz I 30-94 of the 7th instant, has the honour to return herewith Muzrai file no 30-94 (Repairs to Ram Doncathum Tank) received with Government Proceedings no. 4049-51 dated 1st May 1905

pd Illegible
for Chief Engineer
of Mysore

AA

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
4881
MAIN SECRETARIAT

SECRETARIAT
Regd 4768-CP
27
1905.
Mysore

From

The Superintending Engineer,
Western Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer and Secretary,
Mysore.

Dated 24th June 1905.
Despatched
Received
Regd No. 2,880
No.
Enclosure No.

No 4309 2/29 6-6-05

Encl 1

Forwarded to the Secretary to Government, Muzrai Department with reference to Proceedings no. 562-4 of 1st August 04(A) for favor of Sanction to the Supplemental Estimate under the circumstances stated by the Superintending Engineer.

M. McCutchen

Chief Engr & Secy

CK Rpres
hs
30/6/05

Chief Engineer's No:4708 of the 9th August 1904 forwarding copy of Government Proceedings No:[illegible] dated the 1st.idem, sanctioning a project amounting to Rs:16,000 for restoring Ooniatur Tank in the Chamarajnagar Taluk.

With reference to the above, I have the honor to inform the Chief Engineer that the above estimate does not include the cost of - - Establishment and Tools and Plant Charges which amount to Rs:1,686 An estimate providing for these charges is herewith submitted for favor of early sanction.

2. The Muzrai Department may be obligingly asked to place the sum of Rs:1,686 at the disposal of the

Department.

W Traill Captain, R.E

Superintending Engineer, W.Circle.

Miscl

Read:— Govt Pro: No 562-4
dy 3rd August 1904 —
sanctioning the project
for Rs 1605-9/- for repairing
the Inam Ummatture
land belonging to the
Sri Chamarajeswaraswami
temple at Chamarajnagar

O Rt No 4309 dy
29th June 1905 ~~submitted~~
from the Chief Engineer
in Mysore Submitting
for sanction a Suppl. Estimate for Rs 1686
providing for ~~Establi~~
charges on account of
Establishment & Tools &
Plant which were not
included in the sanctioned
project.

No 1004-6 Enclone
Mar. F 30-94
dy 24. 8. 05.

Order thereon No

The Suppl. Estimate
for Rs 1686 is sanctioned
the charge being met

Recd
26-8-05.

from funds of the
Sri Ca rajeswara -
-Swamy temple at
Channarajapatna -

The Comptroller to Govt -
is requested to place the amount at
the disposal of the Chief Engineer
(Dewan's order) Secretary 20/8/05

To Hs 20/8/05
The Chief Engineer with
the Sanctioned Supplt Estimate
~~& other Estts.~~

Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

By Commr, Mysore Dt



2994A.

Read
9. 1. 06
Reply please
by C

CK

please continue the
Office note

RS
22/1/06

1906

FROM

THE CHIEF ENGINEER OF MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Finance Department

Dated Bangalore 6th January 06

Despatched

Received

General No. 94
No.

Enclosure No 2

Submitting replies to the
observations made in yr. Proc. No. 4049-51/Misc 7 30-94 dy
1-5-05 pcs. the proposed diversion
of the Cocos channel to Uncathan
Sack.

Mysore Public Works Department,

No. 94 M/2 Central Office,

Dated Bangalore 6th January 1906.

From,

W.Mc Hutchin Esquire, M.I.C.E.,

Chief Engineer of Mysore.

To,

L.Anantasami Rao Esquire, B.A.,

Secretary to the Government

of H.H.the Maharajah of Mysore,

Muzrai Department.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No.4049-51/Muz F.30-94 of the 1st May 1905, passing orders on the petitions of some of the ryots of Ummathur, complaining against the proposed diver--sion of the feeder channels to their village tank in the Chama--rajnagar Taluk, and animadverting adversely upon the work done to the tank at the time of its restoration in 1896-7; I have the honor to forward the enclosed copy of Mysore Division Executive Engineer's No.780, of the 20th November last, together with a copy of the Revenue Statement therewith received; and to submit the following replies to the observations made in the Proceedings quoted above:-

(1) The breach referred to was entirely confined to the weir, and I am not aware of any particular damage to, or deterioration of the work done to the bund except--ing that due to the absolute neglect of the ryots to maintain it from the time it was restored in 1896-7. Moreover: the breach was entirely due to the bad site for the weir, but there was no alternative but to adopt this site, as no better one was available. It was not due to the bad work carried out by the Department, as observed in the Proceedings under reference, that the tank breached; and to have built a masonry O.G.weir

at

at this site would have cost 3 times what the work cost.

(2) As regards the ryots liability to maintain the bund of the tank, it is evident that the maintenance in this case rightly devolves on the ryots; as it is not an old breached tank, the bund never having breached since 1896-97. It will be further seen that the tank continued to retain water upto the required level, and cultivation had gone on under it without interruption from 1896-97, the date of its restoration; as is evidenced by the revenue statement obtained from the Amildar. Such remissions as have been made in 1900-01 and 1904-05 were due simply to deficient rainfall and consequent deficient supply in the tank. The ryots have entirely neglected to maintain the bund for which there is no excuse whatever, and they should be compelled to do so under the existing rules.

(3) As the old bund which was restored to a definite standard in 1896-97 has not been maintained by the ryots, which is their legitimate work, the cost of earthwork necessitated thereby was rightly excluded from the estimate for rebuilding the weir &c. In the light of these explanations, I trust the Government will see their way to withdraw the remarks made in the Proceedings under reference, that the deterioration of the bund and the consequent loss of revenue was due to bad work."

II. The estimate of Rs.17,000/- for restoring the bund to standard said to be under scrutiny in the Executive Engineer's Office will be considered when received.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. Visvesvaraya

Chief Engineer of Mysore.

Docket No.780 dated 20th November 1905, from the Executive Engineer, Mysore Division, to the Superintending Engineer, Western Circle.

Superintending Engineer's No.2236 of 28th May 1905, calls for certain information regarding the ryots' liability for maintenance of Inam Umathur Tank in the Chamarajnagar Taluk after it was breached.

With reference to the above, has the honor to report as follows:-

2. The tank breached on 14th November 1898, at the weir for a length of 78 feet. A ring bund was immediately put across the gap to retain water that remained in the tank. The tank received further supply from subsequent rains and the crop below did not suffer for want of water. Temporary works costing Rs.503 were also carried out to retain water in the tank till the breach could be restored and these works are still in existence. There was therefore, no difficulty for the tank to retain the water to R.L.51.00.

3. As regards cultivation under the tank, the copy of the revenue statement received from the Amildar with his No.22 of 12th July 1905 is submitted herewith and it will be seen therefrom, that commencing from the year 1898-1899, full revenue is realized every year, except during 1900-01 in which half the assessment is stated by the Amildar to have been remitted owing to the tank not having received water. It is clear from this that there was cultivation throughout, every year, except during 1900-01, probably on account of want of timely rains.

4. The bund was not maintained by the ryots. From the enclosed statement of the ryots received from the Assistant Engineer, Nanjungud Range, (translation put up) it will be seen [illegible] they wilfully neglected to maintain the bund for the [illegible]n that they are not allowed the right of removing grass [illegible] on the slopes of bund, which is sold annually by the

the Revenue Department for sums ranging from Rs.25 to 59 and they agree to do the maintenance work, if this privilege is allowed them. This is, however, a question for the Revenue Department.

5. An estimate for about Rs.17,000 for restoring the bund to a standard of safety received from the Assistant Engineer is under scrutiny. This estimate will be completed and submitted for orders shortly.

&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&

" True Copy "

For Deputy Chief Engineer

of Mysore.

[illegible]

SECRETARY'S OFFICE
Rec'd
JUN 1905
[illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]					[illegible]					
	[illegible]		[illegible]			[illegible]		[illegible]			
1896-97.	56	16	274	12	"	170	35	832	"	"	
1897-98	56	16	274	12	"	170	35	832	"	"	
1898-99	56	16	274	12	"	170	35	832	"	"	
1899-00	56	16	274	12	"	170	35	832	"	"	
1900-01	56	16	274	12	"	170	35	*832	"	"	* [illegible]
1901-02	56	16	274	12	"	170	35	832	"	"	[illegible] 18/12/03
1902-03	56	16	274	12	"	170	35	832	"	"	
1903-04	56	16	274	12	"	170	35	832	"	"	
1904-05	56	16	274	12	"	170	35	832	"	"	* [illegible]

CR

to C. Krishna Rao
19.6.05. Amildar.

"Copy"

[illegible]
Lieut, R.E.,
Deputy Chief Engineer
of Mysore

[illegible]

Mysore

To

The Chief Engineer
of Mysore

No 4342 D/30.3.06.

Sir,

With reference to your letter no 914 dy 6th January 1906 ~~forwa~~ furnishing certain explanations in regard to the remarks made in Govt. Pro: no 4049-51 dy 1st May 1905 ~~about~~ re repairs to the Ummattur tank, I am directed to state that the matter will be taken into consideration when the Estimate for restoring the bund is received for Sanction.

I have &c

Dewan's Orders/ 29/3/06
Secretary

Ch

ks
2/5/06

190

MY OFFICE MEMORANDUM

No. 2900

From

THE CHIEF ENGINEER OF MYSORE

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore Mugrai Bhavanam

Dated Bangalore

Despatched

Enclosure No. 5.

SUBJECT

Forwarding a revised estimate amounting to Rs 8078, for sanction, for the construction of Drain Connection Tank in the Channarayanapura Tank

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.

No. 2869

CENTRAL OFFICE,

BANGALORE, 1st May —— 1906

From W. Mc Hutchin Esquire, M I C. E.,
THE CHIEF ENGINEER OF MYSORE,
BANGALORE

To L. Anantaramu Rao Esquire., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.
Muzrai Department.

SIR,

With reference to Government Proceedings No 562-4 dated 3-8-04 and no. 1904-06 dated 24.8.05 Sanctioning estimates aggregating Rs (16,059 + 1,686) 17,745 for the restoration of the Inam Dommalu Tank in the Chamarajnagar Taluk, I have the honour to forward the accompanying revised estimate amounting to Rs. 18,598 received from the Superintending Engineer, Western Circle, for favour of Sanction of Government. The excess Rs 853 is less than 5% and full explanation therefor has been furnished in the Comparative Statement and the Report attached to the revised estimate.

2. The Comptroller may be kindly asked to place the difference (Rs 853) between the

Sanctioned and the revised Estimates at the disposal of this Department, to allow of the work being Completed this year.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

[signature] Lieut, RE

For Chief Engineer of Region

WHS



Report to accompany the estimate of the probable cost of bringing the Kumaraswamy Tank to the state of repair.

Reference Superintendent of Engineers letter No 2881 of 22-5-1929 submitting the estimate and report for the above work

---

Report

The Kumaraswamy Tank at Chinnamajanagam which was restored in 1877-78 at a cost of Rs [illegible] bore the Weir of 4 miles length on Excavation across the old bund were temporarily repaired and water stored for cultivation. The ryots having neglected to maintain the bund, deterioration has taken place and the accompanying estimate provides for bringing the bund to the

the condition in which it was after restoration. The estimate also provides for recovering and resetting the badly settled portions of the revetment. An estimate was prepared providing for rebuilding the whole of the revetment of the flank but in my opinion it seems sufficient if only the badly settled portions which are generally about the weir level, are reset, leaving the other portions as they are. The estimate also provides for raising the right flank bund of right weir, to the sanctioned level of the bund, as otherwise the present low flank bund which is below 52.00 (please see sections enclosed) is likely to be covered.

Provision is also made for repairing the sluices which are reported to be leaky. Since the earth available here is black cotton soil, provision is made for gravel backing behind revetment very necessary

Gazetting?

revetment and paving the top of the bund.

The amount of the Estimate is thus made up of Rs 3409 for resetting the revetment paving plant bund & not forming repair work and Rs 1373 due to making good the deterioration of the bund from neglect of the maintenance work.

Since there is already an estimate sanctioned providing for opening out feeder Channels &c to this tank for Rs 16059 (and work on which is in progress) the enclosed estimate for Rs 4774 may be treated as supplemental to the estimate amounting to Rs 16,059.

W Lamb
for Executive Engineer
Mysore Division

March 6

Mysore

Read: — Government Pro:
no 562-4 dated the 3rd August
1904. Sanctioning ~~the~~ a
project for Rs 1605/-
for repairing the Inam
Ummattur tank belonging
to the Sri Chamarajeswara
Swami temple at —
Chamarajnagar.

Pro: no 1004-6 d/ the
24th August 1905 Sanctioning
a Supplemental Estimate
for Rs 1686 for the same

Letter no 2869 d/ the
1st May 1906 from the —
Chief Engineer of Mysore
Submitting for Sanction
a revised Estimate
amounting to Rs 18,598
for the repairs & to tank

No 5168-50 B/10.5.06.
Ee ~~&~~ 4 Plans.
1 Sanctioned Estimate, 1 Cancelled
Estimate, 1 Report & statement

Order thereon — No.

The total amount

of the Estimates sanctioned for the repairs of the tank is Rs 17745. The Chief Engineer in the letter read above reports that the work according to the sanctioned project has been duly authorised, and good progress made in and that during excavation certain excesses under several items amounting to Rs 853/- were found necessary. He therefore submits for the sanction of Government a revised Estimate for Rs 18598.

2. The revised Estimate for Rs 18598 is sanctioned; the excess charge viz Rs 853 being debited to the funds of the Sri Chamarajeswara-swamy temple at Chamarajanagar

The Comptroller is

requested to place this sum viz Rs 853 at the disposal of the Chief Engineer-

3. The old Estimates referred to in the Pro: read above are hereby cancelled.

15-1-06

Secretary

To,

The Chief Engineer

with the Sanctd revised Estimate & other Enclo

4/5/06

" Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

" Dy: Commr: Mysore Dt.

CK

Rupees
Rs
12/5/06

1906

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
4822
12.5.06
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

From THE CHIEF ENGINEER OF MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

To
The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department

Dated Bangalore 10th May '06.
Despatched
Received
General No. 3077
No.
Enclosure No. 6.

2 Transfer
2 Estimates
1 Report
1 Copy of
Despatch

Forwarding for sanction
an estimate amounting to
5,359 for bringing up the bund
of the Inam Ummathur Tank
in the Chamarajnagar Taluk

No. 3077

Mysore Public Works Department,
Central Office,
Dated Bangalore 10th July 1913.

From,

W.W. Hutchin Esquire, M.I.C.E.,
Chief Engineer of Mysore.

To,

Anantasami Rao Esquire, B.A.,
Secretary to the Government
of H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.4342 dated the 30th March last, I have the honor to forward for the sanction of Government the accompanying estimate amounting to Rs.5,359 for building up the bund of the New Ummatur Tank in the Chamrajnagar Taluk to a standard of feet together with Captain W.G.Traill's Inspection Note which explains the various items. The items of work provided for in this estimate are in addition to those covered by the revised estimate submitted with my letter No.2889 of the 1st instant, and may be treated as supplemental thereto.

2. It is true that the breaching of the weir was not due to bad work when the tank was restored as already observed by me: but it would appear that the revetment which was built in accordance with Colonel Bowen's old specification has settled considerably, so much ~~as~~ that it must be rebuilt now for the worst portions from the top to just below weir level. The bund is entirely composed of black cotton soil and no other is available here within a reasonable distance. Experience on hundreds as I might say, thousands, of tanks has shown that revetments to bunds of tanks composed of such soil, especially those built in accordance with the old specifications i-c without gravel backing, always settle in the same way after a few years; and this revetment which was built 9 years ago is no exception to the rule.

It is certain that after a few years-5 or 6- some further repairs will be necessitated for the same reason; and no remedy for this state of affairs can be proposed at a reasonable cost i-e it is cheaper to bear the cost of dismantling and resetting the revetment at some intervals say 6 years or so than to try and adopt other expensive expedients which would probably end in failure.

3. The Superintending Engineer will be asked to order the Executive Engineer to personally inspect and report on the causes of the leaks at the sluices. Small holes bored by crabs and fish in this black soil would form leaks which develop to serious dimensions, and this is probably the cause.

4. It is desirable that the works should be ~~XXX~~ finished this year if possible. Full allotment may therefore be made.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servants

Bernard Dawes

Asst. Engr.

~~XXXX~~ For Chief Engineer of Mysore

Note by Captain W S Traill R E, Superintending Engineer, Western Circle, on Iruan Ummathur Tank.

Work on estimate of Rs 16,059 sanctioned in Govt: Progs. no 562-4 dated 1st August 04, and supplemental estimate covering establishments tools and Plant sanctioned in no. 1904-06 dated 24th August 1905 is nearly completed. I inspected the tank on 7th instant and noted various works which appear to me to be necessary for the safety of the tank and to the working of the improvements now nearing completion.

On going through the file I find that for these works have already been noted viz: those pertaining to the safety of the tank include bringing earthwork to standard and repairing revetment. Govt. Progs no 4049-57 dated 1 May 05 deals at some length with the question of maintenance and repts' liability and from these orders it appears that because the tank did not breach on account of repts' neglig Government consider there is no liability against the repts ~~and~~ until the tank is restored again quite overlooking the fact that full repairs has been recovered and therefore presumably cultivation has proceeded ever the tank breached except in 19

Prior to my inspection the Executive Engineer M-B. SubbaRao inspected it and submitted an Estimate for works he considered necessary as supplemental to those already sanctioned; this Estimate only reached me in camp today and I find it covers exactly the same works as I noted on my Inspection to be necessary. These are

(1) Bringing earth work of bund to Standard
(2) Revetting badly damaged portions of revetment
(3) raising a right flank bund to same level as main bund
(4) Repairing Sluices.

No 1. I consider to be entirely due to pyots' agency and its cost is Rs 1,373; it will be seen from this office no: 5549 dated 28-11-05 that the pyots admit the neglect and give as a reason not absence of liability but the refusal of a pyot to cut grass on the bund as the bund is black cotton soil and practically devoid of turf or grass this reason appears absurd however it is a matter for the revenue Department and Government to decide.

No 2. It is reported that it was necessary to rebuild the whole revetments; no doubt this would be a good thing as it looks as if it had been badly done originally but I agree with the Executive Engineer that it is not absolutely necessary for the safety of the bund

the weirs or flanks will always go I think before the bund itself gives way.

No. 3 I cannot imagine why this was left out of the improvement estimate put up by Mr Nagarwakar; there is ground practically at weir level on the right flank and if there was an discharge a nala would form at once and soon empty the tank.

No. 4. Very urgently required; should certain be carried out before this monsoon. I have this work to urge that I have ordered it to be carried out at once the Contractor agreeing to take payment next official y. If you object to this please order me to stop it. The Executive Engineer has entered in Sinn Rs 250 for this work which I have rais to Rs 800 as I a really good job should be m and this will require resetting a considera area of revetment with a really workl tight packing of clay and gravel.

The work done on the sanctioned estimate is good of its sort but I do not consider C plaster toned on weirs I think Portland Cem should be used unless really good. Surki Mortar can be got and this I don't think is on a small job where it is not worth bringi

I am afraid I can foresee no

but trouble from the fresh channel Scheme
it is bound to silt up in a year or two. The spoil
from it has not been turfed and even whilst
a berm wide enough for a cart track it will
bind its way back to the channel at once.

"Coby"

W. Simpson
for Deputy Chief Engineer
of Navy

ending with letter no
3077 dated the 10th May 1906,
from the Chief Engineer, in
original.

Order:- No: 5803-5 Dy 19.6.06
Mur 2:30-94 Enc/4

The annicut across Cauk belonging to
the Sri Chennakesavaswami
temple at Channapatnam
was restored in 1897
at a cost of Rs 22833.
The weir thereof having
breached in 1898, estimates
amounting in all to Rs 18598
& were sanctioned for
carrying out the necessary
repairs. These estimates
provided for constructing
an anicut with a regulator,
opening out a feeder

to

the tank, the reconstruction of the old weirs, and forming up training bank to prevent the encroachment gardens of the Dasmur village from being inundated; but they did not provide for earth work.

2. It is now stated by the Chief Engineer that the works are nearing completion, and that to bring the bund to a standard of safety, the following works are required:-

(1) Bringing earth-work of bund to standard

(2) Resetting bow

settled portions of revetment,

(3) Raising a right flank bund to same level as main bund, and (4) repairing sluices, which are reported to be leaky.

It is also stated that the nature of the soil requires the dismantling of portions of the bund from time to time.

3 The present estimate for Rs 5359 is accordingly sanctioned, the charge being met from the savings of the Sri Chamarajeswaraswami temple.

temple at Chamarajapuram. The Comptroller to Govt is requested to place the amount at the disposal of the Chief Engineer.

4. The Dy Commr of the Mysore District is requested to enforce strictly the liability of raiyats holding lands under the tank, to maintain the bund after restoration, as already directed in Government Order No 562-4 dated the 3rd August 1904, while allowing them at the same time to cut and remove the grass growing on the inner slopes of the bund

(H. H's order) 12/6/06

Secretary
11/6/06.

- To

The Chief Engr. Mysore

returning the Cues

The Dy Comr., Mysore Dt.

The Comptroller to Govt

Submitter 24/11

no: 8107 d/3.12.06. 2/2

Forwarded to the Secy: to Govt; Mukrai Department, for favor of disposal, with reference to Govt: Proc: no: 5803-5/ Mukk. F. 30-94 dated the 19th June last.

Captn R.E.

Dy: Chief Engr: of Mysore

3/12/06.

A 2/2

7/12/06

10/12/06

190 6.

From

The Superintending Engineer,

Western Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Dated 24th November 1906.

Despatched

Received

General No. 5723

No.

Enclosure No. 1 Report 1 Estimate 2

Chief Engineer's No:4420 of the 3rd.July last communicating sanc-tion of Government to an esti-mate of Rs.5,359 for restoring the Inam Oomathur Tank, belonging to Sri ~~Chennakeshavaswami~~ Chamarajeswara Temple in Chamarajnagar Taluk.

&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&

Has the honor to submit herewith a supplemental estimate amounting to Rs.563/-providing for the usual establishment and Tools and Plant charges and to request that the same may be obligingly forwarded to Muzrai Secretary for sanction and allotment of funds.

Captn:R.E.

Superintending Engineer,W.Circle.

9/12
17/12

Murgai.

Read:—

Government Proceedings

No 5803-5 Dated 19th June 1906
Mus 30-94

Sanctioning an Estimate for Rs. 5359/- for restoring the Inam Nunnathur Tank belonging to the Sri Chamarajeswara Swami temple at Chamaraja nagar.

And,

No 8107 Dt 3d December 906 from the Chief Engineer of Ellypac, forwarding for Sanction a Supplemental Estimate for Rs. 563/- for the usual Establishment and Tools and Plant charges -

Order thereon no 3219-21 S/20.12.06.

Exe: 2.

The Supplemental Estimate for Rs 563/- is sanctioned, the charge being met from the funds available at the credit of the Sri Chamarajeswara Swami temple at Chamarajanagar.

2. The Comptroller is requested to place the sanctd amount of Rs. 563/- at the disposal of the Chief Engineer.

18/12/06
Secretary.

17/12/06

To,
The Chief Engr of Mysore.
with the Sanctd Estimate
" Comptroller, Mysore Govt.
Dy: Comr, Mysore

Note cont'd Hon'ble

27/4/07

3-Plans.
1-Report
1 Estimate
1-abstract
1 Calculation sheet
1 Letter Copy

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT

CENTRAL OFFICE,

No. 1848
Enc 8

Bangalore, 13 - 3 - 1907.



From W. McHuteluu Esq m I.C.E.
The Chief Engineer of Mysore,

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to Govt Proc: (Muzrai) No. 5748-50 of the 7th may last, sanctioning the revised Estimate for Rs 18,598 for repairing the Dhanu Mummatur Tank belonging to the Sri Thamarajeswaraswami temple at Chamarajanagar, I have the honour to forward for favour of sanction & allotment of funds, the accompanying estimate amounting to Rs 2,003, providing for repairs to damages caused to the feeder Channel & Head works of the tank referred to above by the floods of last September (Rs 1,136) & for increasing the Water way of the Kala [illegible] below the Anikat. The necessity for this proposed additional expenditure is explained at length by the Exe: Engineer in his No 122 of 18th ultimo (Copy enclosed).

2. The estimate may be treated as supplemental to the original estimate recommended by the Exe: Engineer in the report.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
W McHutchison Captain R.E.
Chief Engineer of Mysore

H 2837
M. Dre

~~189~~ 1907

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.

From

The Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Secy to the Govt. of Mysore.
Muzrai Department.

No. 1118

Dated Bangalore 13 3 07

Despatched

Enclosure No. 8

SUBJECT.

Encl
5
O

1382

189

3.

SECRETARY'S
Regd No 2407
12 APR 1907
Mysore P. W. Dept.

From

The Executive Engineer,

Mysore Division

To

The Superintending Engineer,

Western Circle.

No 1681/Ens 3

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer of Mysore for favor of disposal.

Captain
Superintending Engineer W. Circle
Bangalore 9/4/07

4796

Dated 31-3-07.

Dispatched 1-4-1907

Received

General No. 221

Enclosure No. 3

H s the honor to submit for favor of sanction an estimate mount -ing to Rs. ~~774~~ 820/- for constructing a cart Bridge across the feeder channel of Oomathur Tank, Reid No:27 of Chamarajnagar Taluk, duly obtain--ing the countersignature of the Deputy Commissioner. The Deputy Commissioner requests early execu--tion of the work. The estimate may be treated as a ~~2nd~~ Supplemental Estimate and funds obtained from

the

Ans
Rs
19/4/07

1907 April 11

E-3 1-2484 D/19-4-07

Forwarded to the Secretary to Government Mining Department. in Continuation of this Office No 1848 dt 12th March/07 for favor of disposal -

It Under the standing orders of the Department, the cost of the Construction of Pandaroos or Cart bridge or Culvert required for the Communication of the Villages to or to their fields will have to be borne jointly by Government & Ryots -

J. Bernard Dyer
Captain

Typed 15/5/07

Yes please 14/5/07

Inwards

Read the following —

Govt Pro: no 562-4 D/13th Augst 1904 sanctioning a project for Rs 1605/- for repairing the Inam Kumattoor tank in the village, belonging to the Sri Chamarajeswara Swamy temple at Chamarajanagar -

" Govt Pro. no 1004-6 D/24th Augst 1905 sanctioning a Supplemental Estimate for Rs 1686/- for the same

(i) " Govt Pro no 5148-50 D/10th May 1906 sanctioning a revised Estimate for Rs 18,598/- for the repairs of the said tank in the Inam Kumattur village, belonging to the Sri Chamarajeswaraswami temple at Chamarajanagar.

(ii) " Govt Pro no 5803-5 D/19th June 1906 sanctioning an Suppl. Estimate for Rs. 5359/- for restoring

The bund of
~~restoring~~ the tanks ~~in the Iram~~
~~Unnamathoor village belonging~~
~~to the temple~~.

A(iii) Govt Dpo: No 3219 21 D 20th
December 1906 sanctioning
a 2nd Supplemental Estimate
for Rs 563/- for the usual
Establishment and Tools
and Plant Charges.

~~And~~ Also. —
Letters Nos 1848 and
2846 dated 17th March and
6th April 1907 from the Chief
Engineer of Ellore, forwarding again
supplemental
two Estimates amounting
to Rs 2823/- ~~for certain~~
~~repairs to damages caused to the feeder channel~~ works up to
~~to the tanks~~. date

Order thereon No

The Chief Engineer has
forwarde

again 2 supplemental

forwarded ~~Estimates~~ amounting

to Rs 2823/- (1) for repair Rs 2003 for

by floods

the damages caused to the

feeder Channels and head

works of the tanks ~~by the floods~~,

and (ii) for increasing the water

way of the nala above and

below the anicut and (ii)

for Construction of a Cart

bridge across the feeder Channels

of the tank. ~~for the Convenience~~

~~of the Villagers~~. He ~~and~~ States

that these works are necessary

and ~~proposes~~ that the cost of

~~the Construction of the bridge~~

cart

for constructing a bridge

one of the estimates Viz Rs 820/- ~~may be borne~~

under the standing orders of P.W. dept.,

will have to be borne jointly by the Temple and the ryots

of the main village, as the

bridge

~~village~~ is intended for the convinience of the ryots to get

to their fields.

~~for Rs 2003/- for certain repairs~~

~~to damages~~

~~" 820/- for the Construction~~

~~of a cart bridge~~

~~Rs -2823/-~~

~~To Sanction~~ The 2nd Supplemental Estimates for Rs. 2823/- ~~the~~ are accordingly sanctioned Charges being met as follows:—

Rs. 2413 00 From the funds of the Sri Chamarajeswara Swami Temple

Rs. 410.00 From ~~XXX~~ Contribution to be levied from the ryots of the village

Rs 2823 00

2. The Sum of Rs. 410/- being the moiety of the estimated cost of the construction of the Cart bridge ~~for the convenience of the villagers to be paid by~~ ~~Here~~ should be recovered and ~~are~~ directed to the funds of the Temple and the fact reported to this Office

3. The D.C. Comm is requested to recover from the ryots

P.W.D.

4. The Comptroller is requested to place ~~the~~ ~~Sanctioned amount of~~ ~~Rs. 2823/-~~ at the disposal of

which is of the P. W. D- the sum
Rs 2413 sanctioned from the temple funds.

5. is requested
The Chief Engineer ~~and Executive~~
~~Engineer~~ to complete the
works as early as
possible without sanctioning
any more
supplemental
estimates. It is hoped that
the requirements of this Code
have been fully satisfied."

Rs
13/5/07

To
The Chief Engineer & all
with the Sanctd Estimate
other enclosures.

" Comptroller, Mysore Govt,

" Dy Commissioner, Mysore

This order

14/5/07

Proceedings of the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,

M U Z R A I.

Read the following:-

(1) Government Proceedings No.5148-50 dated 10th May 1906 sanctioning a revised estimate for Rs.1859/- for the repairs of the tank in the Inam Ummathur village, belonging to the Sre -- Chamarajeswaraswami temple at Chamarajanagar.

(11) Government Proceedings No.5803-5 dated 19th June 1906 sanctioning a supplemental estimate for Rs.535/- for restoring the bund of the tank.

(111) Government Proceedings No.3219-21 dated 20th December 1906 sanctioning a 2nd supplimental estimate for Rs.563/- for t usual establishment and Tools and Plant charges.

Also:-

Letters Nos,1848 and 2846 dated 13th March and 19th - April 1907 from the Chief Engineer of Mysore, forwarding again two supplemental estimates amounting to Rs.2823/- .

Order thereon No. 55/2-4 Dated Bangalore 23rd May 1907.

The Chief Engineer has forwarded again 2 supplemen... estimates amounting to Rs.2823/- (1) for Rs2003 for repairing - the damages caused by floods to the feeder channel and head wor of the tank and for increasing the water way of the nala above

and below the anikat and (11) for constructing a cart/ bridge across the feeder channel of the tank. He states that these wor are necessary and that the cost of one of the estimates Viz Rs. 820/- for constructing a cart/ bridge will under the standing order of P.W.Department, have to be borne jointly by the temple and the ryots of the Iraq village as the bridge is intended for the convenience of the ryots to get to their fields.

2. The 2 supplimental estimates for Rs.28 2/- are accordingly sanctioned. The charge being met as follows:-

Rs.2413-0-0 from the funds of the Sre Chamarajesvaraswami temple.

Rs.410-0-0 from the contribution to be levied from the ryots of the village.

3. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to recover from the ryots the sum of Rs410/- being the moiety of the estimated cost of the construction of the cart bridge and credit it to the P.W.

4. The Comptroller is requested to place at the disposal of the P.W.D. the sum of Rs2413 which is sanctioned from the temple funds.

The Chief Engineer is requested to complete the works as early as possible. It is hoped that the requirements of this tank have been fully satisfied.

19/5/47

SECRETARY.

To,

The Chief Engineer of Mysore with the sanctd estimates and others.
" Comptroller to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
"Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.